EXHIBIT B

B Page 2 of 7

Page 1

DENNIS M. DANZIK and RDX TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, (fka Ridgeline Energy Services, Inc.):

v.

CWT CANADA II LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, RESOURCE RECOVERY : CORPORATION, CHANGING WORLD TECHNOLOGIES, JEAN NOELTING; JANE DOE NOELTING; BRUCE MCFARLANE; JANE DOE MCFARLANE; :

BRIAN APPEL; and JANE DOE APPEL:

AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION

Audio transcription of the 341 meeting held in the above-captioned matter on January 4, 2018, at 1:15 p.m., transcribed from an audio file.

> MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES (866) 624-6221 www.MagnaLS.com



substantial amount of evidence that was 2 found in a locker — in a storage locker 3 in Joplin, Missouri, that is under police 4 investigation. They thought that at this 5 point, with my appeal happening in 6 New York during the month of January or 7 February, that it was a good time to go 8 in and get things cleaned up. And the 5 sale of the property in Santa Fe Springs. 5 which takes a great deal of personal debt 6 or firmy—of my books. About \$2\$ million. 10 off my—of my books. About \$2\$ million. 11 off my—of my books. About \$2\$ million. 12 Q. Okay. Other than that 13 clange in debt, how have your financial 6 circumstances changed since the dismissal 6 of your last Chapter 11 case? 16 A. Well, I went out and talked 17 to my clients about getting direct 6 mployment contracts if I went into 18 my clients about getting direct 19 care currently being done. 19 Q. I memory. You got — it 19 or garbled. Could you restate that? 21 are currently being done. 22 gor mol—and that's excluding four amended years which 24 are currently being done. 24 to have sufficient cash flow to do that in 8 this Chapter 11 plan. 25 A. No, because I'm converting 26 everybody over to an every-two-week 27 plane in the Chapter 11 case, 16 Q. Well, I'm not sure you 10 everybody over to an every-two-week 28 passed that sure proceeding 10 everybody over to an every-two-week 29 passed that sure proceeding 10 everybody over to an every-two-week 29 passed that a sure proceeding 10 everybody over to an every-two-week 21 phase 20 Q. Well, I'm not sure you 11 this was an issue in your last case. You were definquent on 20 poor and in this Chapter 11 case, 16 Count was concerned that you didn't 17 back at all, one of the expectations our office has is timely filing of monthly operating reports. That was an issue in your last case. You were definquent on 20 poor and understood my question. 25 poor and 25 poor and 26 poor and 27 poor and 28 poor and 29 poor and		Case 17-20934 DOC 00-2 Filed 02/21/16	<u>ie 3 c</u>		DIL	
2 found in a locker — in a storage locker 3 in Joplin, Missouri, that is under police 4 investigation. They thought that at this 5 point, with my appeal happening in 6 New York during the month of January or 7 February, that it was a good time to go 8 in and get things cleaned up. And the 9 sale of the property in Santa Fe Springs, 10 which takes a great deal of personal debt 10 off my – of my books. About \$2 million. 12 Q. Okay. Other than that 13 change in debt, how have your financial 14 circumstances changed since the dismissal 15 of your last Chapter 11 case? 16 A. Well, I went out and talked 17 to my clients about getting direct 18 employment contracts if I went into 19 Chapter 11. They have agreed to do that. 19 Chapter 11. They have agreed to do that. 20 As well as I've got all my income taxes 21 filed excluding four amended years which 22 are currently being done. 23 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: No 24 employment contracts are listed. Page 15 BY MR. MORSE: 2 Q. In terms — one of the 3 issues we had last case was your ability 1 to have sufficient cash flow to fund a 5 Chapter 11 plan. 2 Do you envision having 3 sufficient excess cash flow to do that in 4 this Chapter II? 4 A. No, because I'm converting 5 everybody over to an every-two-week 1 payment schedule, and they pay me 1 payment schedule, and they pa		•	,		Page	16
2 found in a locker — in a storage locker 3 in Joplin, Missouri, that is under police 4 investigation. They thought that at this 5 point, with my appeal happening in 6 New York during the month of January or 7 February, that it was a good time to go 8 in and get things cleaned up. And the 9 sale of the property in Santa Fe Springs, 10 which takes a great deal of personal debt 10 off my – of my books. About \$2 million. 12 Q. Okay. Other than that 13 change in debt, how have your financial 14 circumstances changed since the dismissal 15 of your last Chapter 11 case? 16 A. Well, I went out and talked 17 to my clients about getting direct 18 employment contracts if I went into 19 Chapter 11. They have agreed to do that. 19 Chapter 11. They have agreed to do that. 20 As well as I've got all my income taxes 21 filed excluding four amended years which 22 are currently being done. 23 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: No 24 employment contracts are listed. Page 15 BY MR. MORSE: 2 Q. In terms — one of the 3 issues we had last case was your ability 1 to have sufficient cash flow to fund a 5 Chapter 11 plan. 2 Do you envision having 3 sufficient excess cash flow to do that in 4 this Chapter II? 4 A. No, because I'm converting 5 everybody over to an every-two-week 1 payment schedule, and they pay me 1 payment schedule, and they pa	1	substantial amount of evidence that was	1	sufficient do vou believe vou'll have		
be able to contribute to a Chapter 11 in Jophin, Missouri, that is under police in Jophin, with my appeal happening in New York during the month of January or February, that it was a good time to go in and get things cleaned up. And the sale of the property in Santa Fe Springs, which takes a great deal of personal debt off my - of my books. About \$2\text{ million}. Chapter 11 Chapter 11 Chapter 11. They have agreed to do that. As well as I've got all my income taxes Iffied excluding four amended years which are are currently being done. UNIDENTIFIED MALE: No employment contracts are listed. Page 15 BY MR. MORSE: Q. In terms one of the issues we had last case was your ability to have sufficient excess cash flow to do that in this Chapter 11 plan. Do you envision having sissues we had last case was your ability to have sufficient excess cash flow to do that in this Chapter 11 plan. A. No, because I'm converting everybody cover to an every-two-week payment schedule, and they pay me sale fot property in Santa Fe Springs, which takes a great deal of personal debt of omry of my books. About \$2\text{ million}. Do you envision having since the property in Santa Fe Springs, which takes a great deal of personal debt of our clast Chapter 11 chapter 11 case, A. Yes. My current if everybody coverts to an employment create this meeting I'll everybody cover to an employment creating I'll everybody cover to an every development in (inaudible). Q. In - I'm sorny. I lost I missed that last part. 740,000 in income? A. That's correct. Q. And your expenses for the year would be approximately how much? A. Down down from (inaudible) a year. Q. I'm sorry. You got it got garbled. Could you restate that? A. Okay, I am about \$155,000 a year of (inaudible) a year. Q. Okay. So you have Page 17 approximately 600,000 in excess funds that your deal of the surface and the payment schedule, and they pay me solution and repay creditors sufficient excess cash flow to do that in this Chapter 11 plan. A. Okay. Q. Mell, I'm						
Investigation They thought that at this 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5						
5 Nom York during the month of January or 7 February, that it was a good time to go 8 in and get things cleaned up. And the 9 sale of the property in Santa Fe Springs, 10 which takes a great deal of personal debt 11 off my - of my books. About \$2 million. 12 Q. Okay. Other than that 13 change in debt, how have your financial 14 circumstances changed since the dismissal 15 of your last Chapter 11 case? 16 A. Well, I went out and talked 17 to my clients about getting direct 18 employment contracts if I went into 19 Chapter 11. They have agreed to do that. 20 As well as I've got all my income taxes 21 filed excluding four amended years which 22 are currently being done. 23 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: No 24 employment contracts are listed. Page 15 BY MR. MORSE: 2 Q. In terms one of the 3 issues we had last case was your ability 4 to have sufficient excess cash flow to fund a 5 Chapter 11 plan. 6 Chapter 11 plan. 7 Sufficient excess cash flow to do that in this Chapter 11 plan. 8 Chapter 11 plan. 9 A. No, because I'm converting 10 everybody cover to an every-two-week 11 payment schedule, and they pay me 12 basically as a employee, not a 13 contractor. 14 Q. Well, I'm not sure you 14 have sufficient excess funds to pay 15 creditors through a Chapter 11 plan. 16 CO Well, I'm not sure you 17 creditors through a Chapter 11 plan. 18 corontractor. 19 Q. And so this time around, one 20 of our concerns is that you may be in 21 that sementing I'l report back I believe that they will -I'll like they 22 contractor. 23 Chapter 11 plan. 24 corontractor. 25 Chapter 11 plan. 26 Chapter 11 plan. 27 corontractor. 28 Chapter 11 plan. 29 Chapter 11 plan. 30 Chapter 11 plan. 40 Chapter 11 plan. 41 Chapter 11 plan. 42 Chapter 11 plan. 43 Chapter 11 plan. 44 Chapter 11 plan. 45 Chapter 11 plan. 46 Chapter 11 plan. 47 Chapter 11 plan. 48 Chapter 11 plan. 49 Chapter 11 plan. 40 Chapter 11 plan. 40 Chapter 11 plan. 41 Chapter 11 plan. 41 Chapter 11 plan. 42 Chapter 11 plan. 43 Chapter 11 plan. 44 Chapter 11 plan. 45 Chapter 11 plan. 46 Chapt		•		*		
New York during the month of January or February, that it was a good time to go in and get things cleaned up. And the sale of the property in Santa Fe Springs, which takes a great deal of personal debt of my off my - of my books. About \$2 million.		• •				
February, that if was a good time to go sale of the property in Santa Fe Springs, which takes a great deal of personal debt of off my - off my books. About \$2 million. Q. Okay. Other than that change in debt, how have your financial circumstances changed since the dismissal of your last Chapter 11 case? A. Well, I went out and talked circumstances changed since the dismissal of your last Chapter 11 case? To my clients about getting direct employment contracts if I went into employment contracts if I went into employment contracts if I went into employment contracts are listed. Description of the property of the property in Santa Fe Springs, will - I'll have approximately \$740,000 in a year in (inaudible). A. That's correct. Q. And your expenses for the year would be approximately how much? A. Down down from (inaudible) a year. Q. Im sorry. You got it got garbled. Could you restate that? A. Okay. I am about \$155,000 a year not and that's excluding my my payments to the IRS. Q. In terms one of the issues we had last case was your ability to have sufficient cash flow to fund a Chapter 11 plan. Chapter 11 plan. Chapter 11 plan. A. No, because I'm converting payment schedule, and they pay me basically as a employee, not a corrector. Q. Well, I'm not sure you in the converting payment schedule, and they pay me basically as a employee, not a corrector. Q. Well, I'm not sure you in the converting payment schedule, and they pay me basically as a employee, not a corrector. Q. Well, I'm not sure you in the converting payment schedule, and they pay me basically as a employee, not a corrector. Q. Well, I'm not sure you in the converting payment schedule, and they pay me basically as a employee, not a corrector. Q. Well, I'm not sure you indivited that in this Chapter 11 case, flow to a correct payment schedule, and they pay me in the correct payment schedule, and they pay me in the correct payment schedule, and they pay me in this Chapter 11 case, flow to a correct payment schedule, and the						
8 in and get things cleaned up. And the 9 sale of the property in Santa Fe Springs, 10 which takes a great deal of personal debt 11 off my of my books. About \$2 million. 12 Q. Okay. Other than that 13 change in debt, how have your financial 14 circumstances changed since the dismissal 15 of your last Chapter 11 case? 16 A. Well, I went out and talked 16 A. Well, I went out and talked 17 to my clients about getting direct 18 employment contracts if I went into 19 Chapter 11. They have agreed to do that. 19 Chapter 11. They have agreed to do that. 20 As well as I've got all my income taxes 21 filed excluding four amended years which 22 are currently being done. 22 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: No 23 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: No 24 employment contracts are listed. 25 Q. In terms one of the 26 issues we had last case was your ability 26 to have sufficient exacs flow to do that in 27 this Chapter 11 plan. 28 Chapter 11 plan. 29 to have sufficient exees cash flow to do that in 30 that is a chapter 11 case, 31 this Chapter 11 case 32 contractor. 33 contractor. 44 Q. Okay. So you have 45 Chapter 11 plan. 46 Count was concerned that you didn't have sufficient excess funds to pay 46 count was concerned that you didn't have sufficient excess funds to pay 47 creditors through a Chapter 11 plan. 48 crown a contractor. 49 count as Chapter 11 case, 40 count as Chapter 11 case, 41 count as Chapter 11 case, 42 count was concerned that you didn't have sufficient excess funds to pay 48 count as Chapter 11 case, 49 count as Chapter 11 case, 40 count as Chapter 11 case, 41 count as Chapter 11 case, 41 count as Chapter 11 case, 42 count was concerned that you didn't have sufficient excess funds to pay 41 count as Chapter 11 case, 41 count as Chapter 11 case, 42 count was concerned that you didn't have sufficient excess funds to pay 41 count as Chapter 11 case, 42 count was concerned that you didn't have sufficient excess funds to pay 42 count was concerned that you didn't have sufficient excess funds to pay 43 count as Chapter 11 case, 44 count						
9 sale of the property in San's Fe Springs, which takes a great deal of personal debt of my - of my books. About S2 million. 12 Q. Okay. Other than that 12 Imissed that last part. 740,000 in income? 13 change in debt, how have your financial circumstances changed since the dismissal of your last Chapter I1 Case? 16 A. Well, I went out and talked 16 year would be approximately how much? 17 to my clients about getting direct 18 employment contracts if I went into 18 Chapter I1. They have agreed to do that. 19 Chapter I1. They have agreed to do that. 19 G. I'm sorry. You got it got garbled. Could you restate that? 19 A. Okay. I am about \$155,000 a year not and that's excluding my my payments to the IRS. Q. Okay. So you have 19 Page 15 Page 17 1 BY MR. MORSE: Q. In terms one of the 18 issues we had last case was your ability 10 to have sufficient cash flow to fund a 18 issues we had last case was your ability 10 to have sufficient excess cash flow to do that in 18 this Chapter I1? A. No, because I'm converting 10 everybody over to an every-two-week 10 payment schedule, and they pay me 12 basically as a employee, not a 12 contractor. 19 A. No, because I'm converting 10 everybody over to an every-two-week 10 payment schedule, and they pay me 12 basically as a employee, not a 12 contractor. 19 A. No, because I'm converting 19 Contractor. 19 A. Okay. 11 into sure you 18 to that you can use you can use to fund a 19 contractor. 19 A. That's correct. 19 Co. Okay. A. Talso have the on on 18 that Joh have a couple of substantial lawsuits that are proceeding forward as well. 19 Co. Okay. All right. Let me 19 have sufficient excess funds to pay 18 contractor. 19 con		•				
which takes a great deal of personal debt of ffr my - of my books. About \$2 million. 10						
off my - of my books. About \$2 million. Q. Okay. Other than that change in debt, how have your financial circumstances changed since the dismissal circumstances changed since the dismissal for your last Chapter 11 case? A. Well, I went out and talked to my clients about getting direct mployment contracts if I went into Chapter 11. They have agreed to do that. Chapter 11 plan. BY MR. MORSE: Q. In terms one of the sissues we had last case was your ability to have sufficient cash flow to do that in this Chapter 11 plan. Do you envision having sufficient excess cash flow to do that in this Chapter 11 plan. Do you envision having sufficient excess cash flow to do that in this Chapter 11 plan. A. No, bocause I'm converting everybody over to an every-two-week payment schedule, and they pay me payment schedule, and they pay me passically as a employee, not a contractor. Q. Well, I'm not sure you understood my question. Your last Chapter 11 plan. Q. Well, I'm not sure you understood my question. Your last Chapter 11 plan. A. No, bocause I'm converting payments to the IRS. Q. Okay. A. That's correct. Q. And your expenses for the year would be approximately how much? A. Down down from (inaudible) a year. Q. I'm sorry. You got it got garbled. Could you restate that? Chapter 12 A. Okay. I am about \$155,000 a year not and that's excluding my my payments to the IRS. Q. Okay. So you have Page 15 A. Okay. A. That's correct. A. That's correct. Q. Okay. So you have Page 17 A. That's correct. A. That's correct. A. That's correct. A. That's correct. Q. Okay. So you have Page 17 A. Okay. A. That's correct. A. That's correct. A. That's correct. Colvent as concerned that you dient A. Okay. A. I also have the on on the advice of our legal counsel in in Arizona, you know, we have a couple of subs						
12 Q. Okay. Other than that 12 Imissed that last part. 740,000 in 13 14 15 16 16 16 17 16 16 17 16 17 18 17 18 18 18 19 19 19 19 19						
change in debt, how have your financial circumstances changed since the dismissal of your last Chapter 11 case? A. Well, I went out and talked to my clients about getting direct employment contracts if I went into 18 cmployment contracts are listed. 20 cmployment contracts are listed. 21 A. Okay. I am about \$155,000 a year not and that's excluding my my payments to the IRS. 22 Q. Okay. So you have 23 payments to the IRS. 24 Q. Okay. So you have 24 payments to the IRS. 25 Q. In terms one of the 25 that you can use you can use to fund a 26 that you can use you can use to fund a 27 that you can use you can use to fund a 28 that you can use you can use to fund a 30 that you can use you can use to fund a 31 saying? 31 A. That's correct. 32 Chapter 11 plan. 34 payments chedule, and they pay me 34 payment schedule, and they pay me 35 cmployment schedule, and they pay me 36 contractor. 34 Q. Well, I'm not sure you 36 contractor. 35 contractor. 36 cmployment schedule, and they pay me 36 contractor. 37 contractor. 38 cmployment schedule, and they pay me 37 contractor. 39 cmployment schedule, and they pay me 38 cmployment schedule, and they pay me 39 contractor. 30 contractor. 31 contractor. 32 contractor. 32 contractor. 33 contractor. 34 contractor. 35 contractor. 35 contractor. 36 c						
circumstances changed since the dismissal of your last Chapter 11 case? A. Well, I went out and talked to my clients about getting direct employment contracts if I went into Chapter 11. They have agreed to do that. As well as I've got all my income taxes filed excluding four amended years which currently being done. UNIDENTIFIED MALE: No employment contracts are listed. Page 15 BY MR. MORSE: Q. In terms one of the sissues we had last case was your ability to have sufficient exash flow to fund a Chapter 11 plan. Do you envision having stificient excess cash flow to do that in this Chapter 11 Pl A. No, because I'm converting payment schedule, and they pay me basically as a employce, not a contractor. Q. Well, I'm not sure you understood my question. Q. And so this time around, one of your last Chapter 11 plan. Chapter 11 plan. Q. Well, I'm not sure you understood my question. Q. And so this time around, one of your last chapter 11 plan. Chapter 11 plan. A. Okay. A. That's correct. Q. Man your expenses for the year would be approximately how much? A. Down down from (inaudible) a year. A. Okay. I am about \$155,000 a year not and that's excluding my my payments to the IRS. Q. Okay. So you have Page 17 approximately 600,000 in excess funds that you can use you can use to fund a that you can use you can use to fund a that you can use you can use to fund a Chapter 11 plan. Is that what you're saying? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. A. That's correct. A. Okay. A. That's correct. A. Okay. A. That's correct. A. That's co						
of your last Chapter I I case? A. Well, I went out and talked to my clients about getting direct employment contracts if I went into Chapter I I. They have agreed to do that. Chapter I I. They have agreed to do that. Chapter I I. They have agreed to do that. Chapter I I. They have agreed to do that. Chapter I I or got garbled. Could you restate that? Chapter I I or got garbled. Could you restate that? Chapter I I or got garbled. Could you restate that? Chapter I I or got garbled. Could you restate that? Chapter I I or got garbled. Could you restate that? Chapter II got garbled. Could you restate that? A. Okay. I am about \$155,000 a year not and that's excluding my my payments to the IRS. Chapter II plan. Chapter II plan. Do you envision having sufficient excess cash flow to do that in this Chapter II plan. Do you envision having sufficient excess cash flow to do that in this Chapter II? A. No, because I'm converting everybody over to an every-two-week payment schedule, and they pay me contractor. Q. Well, I'm not sure you understood my question. Q. Well, I'm not sure you understood my question. Q. Well, I'm not sure you understood my question. Q. Well, I'm not sure you understood my question. Q. Well, I'm not sure you understood my question. Q. Well, I'm not sure you didn't have sufficient excess funds to pay creditors through a Chapter II plan. A. Okay. Q. And so this time around, one of our concerns is that you may be in the same situation. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 21 22 22 23 24 24 25 25 26 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 24 25 25 26 20 20 21 24 22 24 25 26 27 26 27 27 28 29 29 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 21 22 21 22 22 23 24 24 25 24 26 27 26 27 27 28 29 29 29 29 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 21 22 21 22 21 22 21 22 22 22						
A. Well, I went out and talked to my clients about getting direct to my client about given on the several direct that gets held to my client about given to missing the my client about given on the many client about given about given which a client about given about \$15\$ filling a motion to dismiss or convert early on. To the extent that gets held back at all, one of the expectations our office has is timely filing of monthly operating reports. That was an issue in your last case, up 1 means the about give you a heads up, 1 mean, there will be hardly no		<u> </u>				
to my clients about getting direct employment contracts if I went into 18 (inaudible) a year. 19 (As well as I've got all my income taxes 21 filed excluding four amended years which 22 are currently being done. 23 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: No 24 employment contracts are listed. 24 Page 15 BY MR. MORSE: 2 Q. In terms one of the 3 issues we had last case was your ability 4 to have sufficient cash flow to fund a 5 Chapter 11 plan. 6 Do you envision having 7 sufficient excess cash flow to do that in 8 this Chapter 11? 9 A. No, because I'm converting everybody over to an every-two-week 10 payment schedule, and they pay me 11 basically as a employee, not a 12 contractor. 13 (Q. Okay. All right. Let me 15 note that I do have concerns about this 16 the Court was concerned that you didn't 17 have sufficient excess funds to pay 18 creditors through a Chapter 11 plan. 20 A. Okay. 31 right. Let me 32 nordication. 32 nordication. 34 (Q. Okay. All right. Let me 35 note that I do have concerns about this 36 second filing. I'm leaning towards 37 ling a motion to dismiss or convert 38 early our last Chapter 11 plan. 39 payment schedule, and they pay 30 payment schedule, and they pay 31 payment schedule, and they pay me 32 payment schedule, and they pay me 34 payment schedule, and they pay me 35 payment schedule, and they pay me 36 payment schedule, and they pay me 37 payment schedule, and they pay me 38 payment schedule, and they pay me 39 payment schedule, and they pay me 40 payment schedule, and they pay me 41 payment schedule, and they pay me 42 payment schedule, and they pay me 43 payment schedule, and they pay me 44 payment schedule, and they pay me 45 payment schedule, and they pay me 46 payment schedule, and they pay me 47 payment schedule, and they pay me 48 payment schedule, and they pay me 49 payment schedule, and they pay me 40 payment schedule, and they pay me 41 payment schedule, and they pay me 41 payment schedule, and they pay me 42 payment schedule, and they pay me 43 payment schedule, and they pay me 44 payment				- · ·)	
the employment contracts if I went into Chapter 11. They have agreed to do that. Chapter 11. They have agreed to do that. As well as I've got all my income taxes ifiled excluding four amended years which are currently being done. Chapter 11 plan. Chapter 12 plan. Chapter 13 plan. Chapter 14 plan. Chapter 14 plan. Chapter 15 payments to the IRS. Co Okay. A. That's correct. Chapter 15 plan. Chapter 11 plan. Chapter 16 plan. Chapter 17 Chapter 17 Chapter 17 Chapter 11 plan. Is that what you're saying? A. That's correct. Chapter 11 plan. Is that what you're saying? A. That's correct. Chapter 11 plan. Is that what you're saying? A. That's correct. Chapter 11 plan. Is that what you're saying? A. That's correct. Chapter 11 plan. Is that what you're saying? A. That's correct. Chapter 12 plan. Chapter 13 plan. Chapter 14 plan. Chapter 14 plan. Chapter 15 plan. Chapter 16 plan. Chapter 16 plan. Chapter 17 Chapter 17 Chapter 16 plan. C		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•		
Chapter 11. They have agreed to do that. As well as I've got all my income taxes filed excluding four amended years which are currently being done. UNIDENTIFIED MALE: No employment contracts are listed. Page 15 BY MR. MORSE: Q. In terms one of the sissues we had last case was your ability to have sufficient excess cash flow to do that in this Chapter 11 plan. Do you envision having stificient excess cash flow to do that in this Chapter 11? A. No, because I'm converting payment schedule, and they pay me contractor. A. No, because I'm not sure you to didn't have sufficient excess funds to my question. Q. Well, I'm not sure you the Court was concerned that you didn't have sufficient excess funds to pay control or very form. A. Okay. Q. And so this time around, one of our concerns is that you may be in that you can use to fund a chapter 11 plan. Chapter 11 plan. Chapter 11 plan. A. I also have the on on the advice of our legal counsel in in Arizona, you know, we have a couple of substantial lawsuits that are proceeding forward as well. Q. Okay. All right. Let me note that I do have concerns about this second filing. I'm leaning towards filing a motion to dismiss or convert early on. To the extent that gets held back at all, one of the expectations our office has is timely filing of monthly operating reports. That was an issue in your last case. You were delinquent on nearly every report. And so, just to give you a heads up, I mean, there will be hardly no		•				
As well as I've got all my income taxes filed excluding four amended years which are currently being done. UNIDENTIFIED MALE: No employment contracts are listed. Page 15 BY MR. MORSE: Q. In terms one of the issues we had last case was your ability to have sufficient eash flow to fund a Chapter 11 plan. Chapter 11 plan. Chapter 11? A. No, because I'm converting everybody over to an every-two-week payment schedule, and they pay me contractor. A. No, Well, I'm not sure you understood my question. Your last Chapter 11 plan. Contractor. Your last Chapter 11 plan. Contractor. A. Okay. I am about \$155,000 a year not and that's excluding my my payments to the IRS. Q. Okay. So you have Page 17 Page 17 Page 17 Approximately 600,000 in excess funds that you can use you can use to fund a Chapter 11 plan. Is that what you're saying? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. A. I also have the on on the advice of our legal counsel in in Arizona, you know, we have a couple of substantial lawsuits that are proceeding forward as well. Q. Okay. All right. Let me note that I do have concerns about this second filling. I'm leaning towards filling a motion to dismiss or convert early on. To the extent that gets held back at all, one of the expectations our office has is timely filling of monthly operating reports. That was an issue in your last case. You were delinquent on nearly every report. And so, just to give you a heads up, I mean, there will be hardly no		1 3				
filed excluding four amended years which are currently being done. UNIDENTIFIED MALE: No 23 year not and that's excluding my my payments to the IRS. Page 15 BY MR. MORSE: Q. In terms one of the 3 issues we had last case was your ability 4 to have sufficient cash flow to fund a 6 issues we had last case was your ability 4 to have sufficient excess cash flow to do that in 4 this Chapter 11 plan. A. No, because I'm converting 9 A. No, because I'm converting 9 payment schedule, and they pay me 12 basically as a employee, not a 13 contractor. Q. Well, I'm not sure you 14 second filing. I'm leaning towards 15 illing a motion to dismiss or convert early one and it is timely filing of monthly operating reports. That was an issue in your last case. You were delinquent on nearly every report. A. Okay. I am about \$155,000 a year not and that's excluding my my payments to the IRS. Q. Okay. So you have Page 17 A. Dray: An approximately 600,000 in excess funds to fund a chapter 11 plan. Is that what you're saying? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. A. I also have the on on the advice of our legal counsel in in Arizona, you know, we have a couple of substantial lawsuits that are proceeding forward as well. Q. Okay. All right. Let me note that I do have concerns about this second filing. I'm leaning towards filing a motion to dismiss or convert early on. To the extent that gets held back at all, one of the expectations our office has is timely filing of monthly operating reports. That was an issue in your last case. You were delinquent on nearly every report. And so, just to give you a heads up, I mean, there will be hardly no						
22 are currently being done. 23 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: No 24 employment contracts are listed. Page 15 BY MR. MORSE: 2 Q. In terms one of the 3 issues we had last case was your ability 4 to have sufficient cash flow to fund a 5 Chapter 11 plan. 6 Do you envision having 7 sufficient excess cash flow to do that in 8 this Chapter 11? 8 A. No, because I'm converting 9 everybody over to an every-two-week 10 payment schedule, and they pay me 11 pan. 12 basically as a employee, not a 13 contractor. 14 Q. Well, I'm not sure you 15 understood my question. 16 Your last Chapter 11 plan. 17 contractor. 18 the Court was concerned that you didn't 18 have sufficient excess funds to pay 19 creditors through a Chapter 11 plan. 20 A. Okay. 21 Q. And so this time around, one 22 of our concerns is that you may be in 23 currently being done. 24 payments to the IRS. 26 Q. Okay. So you have Page 17 2a proviments to the IRS. 2b Q. Okay. So you have Page 17 approximately 600,000 in excess funds that you can use to fund a Chapter 11 plan. Is that what you're saying? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. A. I also have the on on the advice of our legal counsel in in 4 Arizona, you know, we have a couple of substantial lawsuits that are proceeding forward as well. Q. Okay. All right. Let me note that I do have concerns about this second filing. I'm leaning towards filing a motion to dismiss or convert early on. To the extent that gets held back at all, one of the expectations our office has is timely filing of monthly operating reports. That was an issue in your last case. You were delinquent on nearly every report. And so, just to give you a heads up, I mean, there will be hardly no	21					
23 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: No 24 employment contracts are listed. Page 15 Page 15 Page 17 1 BY MR. MORSE: Q. In terms one of the 3 issues we had last case was your ability 4 to have sufficient cash flow to fund a 5 Chapter 11 plan. 6 Do you envision having 7 sufficient excess cash flow to do that in 8 this Chapter 11? 9 A. No, because I'm converting 10 everybody over to an every-two-week 11 payment schedule, and they pay me 12 basically as a employee, not a 13 contractor. 14 Q. Well, I'm not sure you 15 the Court was concerned that you didn't 16 RO Okay. 17 A. Okay. 18 payments to the IRS. 29 Q. Okay. So you have Page 17 Page 17 Page 17 Page 17 A approximately 600,000 in excess funds that you can use you can use to fund a Chapter 11 plan. Is that what you're 4 saying? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. A. I also have the on on 4 the advice of our legal counsel in in A Arizona, you know, we have a couple of substantial lawsuits that are proceeding forward as well. Q. Okay. All right. Let me note that I do have concerns about this second filing. I'm leaning towards filing a motion to dismiss or convert early on. To the extent that gets held back at all, one of the expectations our office has is timely filing of monthly operating reports. That was an issue in your last case. You were delinquent on nearly every report. And so, just to give you a heads up, I mean, there will be hardly no	2.2					
Page 15 BY MR. MORSE: Q. In terms one of the sissues we had last case was your ability to have sufficient cash flow to fund a this Chapter 11 plan. Sufficient excess cash flow to do that in this Chapter 11? A. No, because I'm converting everybody over to an every-two-week payment schedule, and they pay me contractor. Well, I'm not sure you understood my question. Well, I'm not sure you didn't have sufficient excess funds to pay creditors through a Chapter 11 plan. A. Okay. Q. Okay. Q. Okay. A. I also have the on on the advice of our legal counsel in in end advice of our legal counsel						
Page 15 BY MR. MORSE: Q. In terms one of the sissues we had last case was your ability to have sufficient cash flow to fund a Chapter 11 plan. Is that what you're saying? Chapter 11 plan. 5 A. That's correct. Do you envision having 6 Q. Okay. sufficient excess cash flow to do that in this Chapter 11? 8 the advice of our legal counsel in in A. No, because I'm converting everybody over to an every-two-week passically as a employee, not a contractor. 13 contractor. 14 Q. Well, I'm not sure you understood my question. 15 filing a motion to dismiss or convert the Court was concerned that you didn't have sufficient excess funds to pay that same situation. 12 Q. And so this time around, one 20 four concerns is that you may be in that same situation. 15 filing and, one of the expectations our heads to give you a heads up, I mean, there will be hardly no				* •		
1 BY MR. MORSE: 2 Q. In terms one of the 3 issues we had last case was your ability 4 to have sufficient cash flow to fund a 5 Chapter 11 plan. 6 Do you envision having 7 sufficient excess cash flow to do that in 8 this Chapter 11? 9 A. No, because I'm converting 10 everybody over to an every-two-week 11 payment schedule, and they pay me 12 basically as a employee, not a 13 contractor. 14 Q. Well, I'm not sure you 15 contractor. 16 Your last Chapter 11 case, 17 the Court was concerned that you didn't 18 have sufficient excess funds to pay 19 creditors through a Chapter 11 plan. 20 A. Okay. 21 Q. And so this time around, one 22 of our concerns is that you may be in 23 that you can use to fund a 25 that you can use to fund a 26 that you can use you can use to fund a 27 that you can use you can use to fund a 28 that you can use you can use to fund a 3 Chapter 11 plan. Is that what you're 4 saying? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. A. I also have the on on 4 the advice of our legal counsel in in 9 Arizona, you know, we have a couple of 9 substantial lawsuits that are proceeding 10 forward as well. 11 Q. Okay. All right. Let me 12 over that I do have concerns about this 13 second filing. I'm leaning towards 14 second filing. I'm leaning towards 15 filing a motion to dismiss or convert 16 early on. To the extent that gets held 17 back at all, one of the expectations our 18 back at all, one of the expectations our 19 operating reports. That was an issue in 19 operating reports. That was an issue in 20 vour last case. You were delinquent on 21 nearly every report. 22 of our concerns is that you may be in 23 that same situation.	2 4		24	Q. Okay. So you have		
Q. In terms one of the sissues we had last case was your ability to have sufficient cash flow to fund a Chapter 11 plan. Chapter 11 plan. Do you envision having 6 Q. Okay. A. I also have the on on the advice of our legal counsel in in 9 Arizona, you know, we have a couple of substantial lawsuits that are proceeding payment schedule, and they pay me 11 passically as a employee, not a 12 Q. Okay. All right. Let me 13 contractor. Q. Well, I'm not sure you 14 second filing. I'm leaning towards 15 understood my question. 15 filing a motion to dismiss or convert 16 Your last Chapter 11 plan. 19 operating reports. That was an issue in your last case. You were delinquent on 12 And so, just to give you a that same situation. 15 filed as up, I mean, there will be hardly no					Page	17
3 issues we had last case was your ability 4 to have sufficient cash flow to fund a 5 Chapter 11 plan. 6 Do you envision having 7 sufficient excess cash flow to do that in 8 this Chapter 11? 9 A. No, because I'm converting 10 everybody over to an every-two-week 11 payment schedule, and they pay me 12 basically as a employee, not a 13 contractor. 14 Q. Well, I'm not sure you 15 understood my question. 16 Your last Chapter 11 case, 17 the Court was concerned that you didn't 18 have sufficient excess funds to pay 19 creditors through a Chapter 11 plan. 20 A. Okay. 21 Q. And so this time around, one 22 of our concerns is that you may be in 23 that same situation. 24 Chapter 11 plan. Is that what you're 4 saying? 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. Okay. 7 A. I also have the on on 8 the advice of our legal counsel in in 9 A. Talso have the on on 8 the advice of our legal counsel in in 9 Arizona, you know, we have a couple of 9 substantial lawsuits that are proceeding 10 substantial lawsuits that are proceeding 11 forward as well. 12 Q. Okay. All right. Let me 13 note that I do have concerns about this 14 second filing. I'm leaning towards 15 filing a motion to dismiss or convert 16 early on. To the extent that gets held 17 back at all, one of the expectations our 18 office has is timely filing of monthly 19 operating reports. That was an issue in 19 operating reports. That was an issue in 20 A. Okay. 21 Q. And so this time around, one 22 And so, just to give you a 23 that same situation. 24 And so, just to give you a			1			
4 to have sufficient cash flow to fund a 5 Chapter 11 plan. 6 Do you envision having 7 sufficient excess cash flow to do that in 8 this Chapter 11? 9 A. No, because I'm converting 10 everybody over to an every-two-week 11 payment schedule, and they pay me 12 basically as a employee, not a 13 contractor. 14 Q. Well, I'm not sure you 15 understood my question. 16 Your last Chapter 11 case, 17 the Court was concerned that you didn't 18 have sufficient excess funds to pay 19 creditors through a Chapter 11 plan. 20 A. Okay. 21 Q. And so this time around, one 22 of our concerns is that you may be in 24 saying? 25 A. That's correct. 6 Q. Okay. 7 A. I also have the on on 8 the advice of our legal counsel in in 9 Arizona, you know, we have a couple of 9 substantial lawsuits that are proceeding 10 forward as well. 11 plan. 12 Q. Okay. All right. Let me 13 note that I do have concerns about this 14 second filing. I'm leaning towards 15 filing a motion to dismiss or convert 16 early on. To the extent that gets held 17 back at all, one of the expectations our 18 office has is timely filing of monthly 19 operating reports. That was an issue in 19 your last case. You were delinquent on 10 nearly every report. 11 or and your last case. You were delinquent on 11 nearly every report. 12 And so, just to give you a 12 heads up, I mean, there will be hardly no		-		•		
5 Chapter 11 plan. 6 Do you envision having 7 sufficient excess cash flow to do that in 8 this Chapter 11? 9 A. No, because I'm converting 10 everybody over to an every-two-week 11 payment schedule, and they pay me 12 basically as a employee, not a 13 contractor. 14 Q. Well, I'm not sure you 15 understood my question. 16 Your last Chapter 11 case, 17 Your last Chapter 11 case, 18 Court was concerned that you didn't 19 have sufficient excess funds to pay 10 creditors through a Chapter 11 plan. 20 And so this time around, one 21 Q. And so this time around, one 22 of our concerns is that you may be in 24 A. That's correct. Q. Okay. A. That's correct. Q. Okay. A. I also have the on on 2 the advice of our legal counsel in in 2 A. I also have the on on 3 the advice of our legal counsel in in 4 A. I also have the on on 3 the advice of our legal counsel in in 4 A. I also have the on on 4 the advice of our legal counsel in in 4 A. I also have the on on 4 C. Okay. 10 substantial lawsuits that are proceeding 11 forward as well. 12 Q. Okay. All right. Let me 13 note that I do have concerns about this 14 second filing. I'm leaning towards 15 filing a motion to dismiss or convert 16 early on. To the extent that gets held 17 back at all, one of the expectations our 18 have sufficient excess funds to pay 18 office has is timely filing of monthly 19 operating reports. That was an issue in 20 your last case. You were delinquent on 21 nearly every report. 22 And so, just to give you a 23 that same situation.	3		3	Chapter 11 plan. Is that what you're		
6 Do you envision having 7 sufficient excess cash flow to do that in 8 this Chapter 11? 9 A. No, because I'm converting 10 everybody over to an every-two-week 11 payment schedule, and they pay me 12 basically as a employee, not a 13 contractor. 14 Q. Well, I'm not sure you 15 understood my question. 16 Your last Chapter 11 case, 17 Your last Chapter 11 case, 18 the advice of our legal counsel in in 19 Arizona, you know, we have a couple of 10 substantial lawsuits that are proceeding 11 forward as well. 12 Q. Okay. All right. Let me 13 note that I do have concerns about this 14 second filing. I'm leaning towards 15 filing a motion to dismiss or convert 16 early on. To the extent that gets held 17 the Court was concerned that you didn't 18 have sufficient excess funds to pay 18 office has is timely filing of monthly 19 creditors through a Chapter 11 plan. 20 A. Okay. 21 Q. And so this time around, one 22 of our concerns is that you may be in 23 that same situation. 24 Q. And so, just to give you a 25 heads up, I mean, there will be hardly no		to have sufficient cash flow to fund a		saying?		
sufficient excess cash flow to do that in this Chapter 11? A. No, because I'm converting everybody over to an every-two-week payment schedule, and they pay me sociotractor. Q. Well, I'm not sure you understood my question. Your last Chapter 11 case, the Court was concerned that you didn't have sufficient excess funds to pay creditors through a Chapter 11 plan. A. I also have the on on the advice of our legal counsel in in Arizona, you know, we have a couple of substantial lawsuits that are proceeding forward as well. Q. Okay. All right. Let me note that I do have concerns about this second filing. I'm leaning towards filing a motion to dismiss or convert early on. To the extent that gets held back at all, one of the expectations our file has is timely filing of monthly operating reports. That was an issue in your last case. You were delinquent on A. Okay. Q. And so this time around, one of our concerns is that you may be in And so, just to give you a that same situation.	5	Chapter 11 plan.	5	A. That's correct.		
this Chapter 11? A. No, because I'm converting everybody over to an every-two-week payment schedule, and they pay me basically as a employee, not a Contractor. Q. Well, I'm not sure you understood my question. Your last Chapter 11 case, have sufficient excess funds to pay reditors through a Chapter 11 plan. A. Okay. Q. And so this time around, one Q. And so this time around, one 23 that same situation. 8 the advice of our legal counsel in in 9 Arizona, you know, we have a couple of 10 substantial lawsuits that are proceeding 11 forward as well. 12 Q. Okay. All right. Let me 13 note that I do have concerns about this 14 second filing. I'm leaning towards 15 filing a motion to dismiss or convert 16 early on. To the extent that gets held 17 back at all, one of the expectations our 18 operating reports. That was an issue in 20 your last case. You were delinquent on 21 nearly every report. 22 And so, just to give you a 23 heads up, I mean, there will be hardly no	6			•		
9 A. No, because I'm converting 10 everybody over to an every-two-week 11 payment schedule, and they pay me 12 basically as a employee, not a 13 contractor. 14 Q. Well, I'm not sure you 15 understood my question. 16 Your last Chapter 11 case, 17 the Court was concerned that you didn't 18 have sufficient excess funds to pay 19 creditors through a Chapter 11 plan. 20 A. Okay. 21 Q. And so this time around, one 22 of our concerns is that you may be in 24 that Same situation. 2 Arizona, you know, we have a couple of 2 substantial lawsuits that are proceeding 10 substantial lawsuits that are proceeding 11 forward as well. 12 Q. Okay. All right. Let me 13 note that I do have concerns about this 14 second filing. I'm leaning towards 15 filing a motion to dismiss or convert 16 early on. To the extent that gets held 17 back at all, one of the expectations our 18 operating reports. That was an issue in 20 your last case. You were delinquent on 21 nearly every report. 22 And so, just to give you a 23 heads up, I mean, there will be hardly no		sufficient excess cash flow to do that in	7	A. I also have the on on		
everybody over to an every-two-week payment schedule, and they pay me basically as a employee, not a contractor. Q. Well, I'm not sure you understood my question. Your last Chapter 11 case, the Court was concerned that you didn't have sufficient excess funds to pay creditors through a Chapter 11 plan. A. Okay. Q. And so this time around, one Q. And so this time around, one contractor. 10 substantial lawsuits that are proceeding forward as well. Q. Okay. All right. Let me note that I do have concerns about this second filing. I'm leaning towards filing a motion to dismiss or convert early on. To the extent that gets held back at all, one of the expectations our office has is timely filing of monthly operating reports. That was an issue in your last case. You were delinquent on nearly every report. And so, just to give you a heads up, I mean, there will be hardly no		this Chapter 11?	8	the advice of our legal counsel in in		
payment schedule, and they pay me basically as a employee, not a contractor. Q. Well, I'm not sure you understood my question. Your last Chapter 11 case, the Court was concerned that you didn't have sufficient excess funds to pay reditors through a Chapter 11 plan. A. Okay. Q. And so this time around, one 20 And so this time around, one 21 forward as well. Q. Okay. All right. Let me 12 note that I do have concerns about this 13 note that I do have concerns about this 14 second filing. I'm leaning towards 15 filing a motion to dismiss or convert 16 early on. To the extent that gets held 17 back at all, one of the expectations our 18 office has is timely filing of monthly 19 operating reports. That was an issue in 20 your last case. You were delinquent on 21 nearly every report. 22 And so, just to give you a 23 heads up, I mean, there will be hardly no	9	A. No, because I'm converting	9	Arizona, you know, we have a couple of		
basically as a employee, not a Contractor. Q. Well, I'm not sure you understood my question. Your last Chapter 11 case, have sufficient excess funds to pay creditors through a Chapter 11 plan. A. Okay. Q. Well, I'm not sure you 14 second filing. I'm leaning towards 15 filing a motion to dismiss or convert early on. To the extent that gets held back at all, one of the expectations our 18 office has is timely filing of monthly operating reports. That was an issue in your last case. You were delinquent on nearly every report. 20 And so this time around, one 21 of our concerns is that you may be in 23 that same situation. 20 And so this time around, one 21 And so, just to give you a 23 heads up, I mean, there will be hardly no	10	everybody over to an every-two-week	10	substantial lawsuits that are proceeding		
13 note that I do have concerns about this 14 Q. Well, I'm not sure you 15 understood my question. 16 Your last Chapter 11 case, 16 early on. To the extent that gets held 17 the Court was concerned that you didn't 18 have sufficient excess funds to pay 19 creditors through a Chapter 11 plan. 20 A. Okay. 20 your last case. You were delinquent on 21 Q. And so this time around, one 22 of our concerns is that you may be in 23 that same situation. 21 note that I do have concerns about this 24 second filing. I'm leaning towards 25 filing a motion to dismiss or convert 26 early on. To the extent that gets held 27 back at all, one of the expectations our 28 office has is timely filing of monthly 29 operating reports. That was an issue in 20 your last case. You were delinquent on 21 nearly every report. 22 And so, just to give you a 23 heads up, I mean, there will be hardly no	11	payment schedule, and they pay me	11	forward as well.		
Q. Well, I'm not sure you understood my question. Your last Chapter 11 case, 16 early on. To the extent that gets held 17 the Court was concerned that you didn't 18 have sufficient excess funds to pay 18 office has is timely filing of monthly 19 creditors through a Chapter 11 plan. 19 operating reports. That was an issue in 20 A. Okay. 20 your last case. You were delinquent on 21 Q. And so this time around, one 22 of our concerns is that you may be in 23 that same situation. 24 second filing. I'm leaning towards 15 filing a motion to dismiss or convert 26 early on. To the extent that gets held 27 back at all, one of the expectations our 28 office has is timely filing of monthly 29 operating reports. That was an issue in 20 your last case. You were delinquent on 21 nearly every report. 22 And so, just to give you a 23 heads up, I mean, there will be hardly no	12	basically as a employee, not a	12	Q. Okay. All right. Let me		
15 understood my question. 16 Your last Chapter 11 case, 17 the Court was concerned that you didn't 18 have sufficient excess funds to pay 19 creditors through a Chapter 11 plan. 20 A. Okay. 21 Q. And so this time around, one 22 of our concerns is that you may be in 23 that same situation. 15 filing a motion to dismiss or convert 26 early on. To the extent that gets held 27 back at all, one of the expectations our 28 office has is timely filing of monthly 29 operating reports. That was an issue in 20 your last case. You were delinquent on 21 nearly every report. 22 And so, just to give you a 23 heads up, I mean, there will be hardly no	13	contractor.	13	note that I do have concerns about this		
Your last Chapter 11 case, the Court was concerned that you didn't have sufficient excess funds to pay creditors through a Chapter 11 plan. A. Okay. Q. And so this time around, one of our concerns is that you may be in the Court was concerned that you didn't back at all, one of the expectations our office has is timely filing of monthly operating reports. That was an issue in your last case. You were delinquent on nearly every report. And so, just to give you a that same situation. 23 heads up, I mean, there will be hardly no	14	Q. Well, I'm not sure you	14	second filing. I'm leaning towards		
the Court was concerned that you didn't have sufficient excess funds to pay creditors through a Chapter 11 plan. A. Okay. Q. And so this time around, one of the expectations our soffice has is timely filing of monthly operating reports. That was an issue in your last case. You were delinquent on nearly every report. And so, just to give you a that same situation. 21 heads up, I mean, there will be hardly no	15	understood my question.	15	filing a motion to dismiss or convert		
have sufficient excess funds to pay creditors through a Chapter 11 plan. A. Okay. Q. And so this time around, one of our concerns is that you may be in that same situation. 18 office has is timely filing of monthly operating reports. That was an issue in your last case. You were delinquent on nearly every report. And so, just to give you a heads up, I mean, there will be hardly no	16	Your last Chapter 11 case,	16	early on. To the extent that gets held		
creditors through a Chapter 11 plan. A. Okay. Q. And so this time around, one of our concerns is that you may be in that same situation. 19 operating reports. That was an issue in your last case. You were delinquent on nearly every report. And so, just to give you a heads up, I mean, there will be hardly no	17	the Court was concerned that you didn't	17	back at all, one of the expectations our		
19 creditors through a Chapter 11 plan. 20 A. Okay. 21 Q. And so this time around, one 22 of our concerns is that you may be in 23 that same situation. 29 operating reports. That was an issue in 20 your last case. You were delinquent on 21 nearly every report. 22 And so, just to give you a 23 heads up, I mean, there will be hardly no	18	•	18			
20 A. Okay. 21 Q. And so this time around, one 22 of our concerns is that you may be in 23 that same situation. 20 your last case. You were delinquent on 21 nearly every report. 22 And so, just to give you a 23 heads up, I mean, there will be hardly no	19	* ·	19			
Q. And so this time around, one 21 nearly every report. 22 of our concerns is that you may be in 22 And so, just to give you a 23 that same situation. 23 heads up, I mean, there will be hardly no	20		20			
22 of our concerns is that you may be in 23 that same situation. 22 And so, just to give you a 23 heads up, I mean, there will be hardly no	21	•	21			
23 that same situation. 23 heads up, I mean, there will be hardly no	22		22	• •		
	23	that same situation.	23	heads up, I mean, there will be hardly no		Į.

	Case 17-20934 Doc 60-2 Filed 02/2	Pac		nered 02/21/18 11:43:33 Desc Exhibit	
	Page	٠,	, -	Page	172
1	transactions including the amount that		1	is not very good at all. I mean,	
2	you gambled as well as the amount that		2	there's been a lot of	
3	you've won or lost between December 2016		3	interruptions and people talking	
4	and today as part of your Chapter 11?		4	over each other, and so I'm just	
5	Will you produce those documents to us?		5	not sure it's going to be of much	
6	A. Well, yeah, I have I		6	value. And so I'd like to get a	
7	have I have cash flow. I'm not going		7	clean a clean record.	
8	to give you my my my taxes		8	I want to know what your	
9	unredacted. That's yeah. If I'm		9	thoughts are. I mean, do you want	
10	responsible for filing taxes on any		10	to keep going today or	
11	gambling, I'll absolutely do it.		11	MR. WURTZÉL: Mr. Morse, if	
12	MR. MORSE: Let me		12	it's okay with you, I would like	
13	interject, Mr. Wurtzel. After		13	to continue today. And we	
14	hearing your questioning and some		14	would I agree with you; we	
15	of the answers and talking to Brad		15	would like to move for a 2004	
16	here, we definitely have concerns		16	right away. But you know,	
17	about some of the well, the		17	while we have, you know,	
18	information that's been provided		18	Mr. Danzik here, you know, and	
19	as well as potential transactions		19	bearing in mind the interruption	
20	that occurred in the prior case.		20	issue, I think I would like to	
21	And so I would like to get		21	continue and perhaps cover some	
22	additional information regarding		22	other topics and then we'll circle	
23	not only DAS but also Mr. Danzik's		23	back in greater detail once we can	
24	employment contracts.		24	have a 2004.	
	Page	171		Page	173
1	I have some concerns that		1	MR. MORSE: Okay. Just keep	
2	money was flowing through DAS and		2	in mind, the transcript is going	
3	being not channelled to the last		3	to be I mean, it's going to be	
4	bankruptcy estate, and potentially		4	horrible. It's just and I	
5	some of those funds and transfers		5	you know, I don't know what value	
6	of his earnings could have or		6	it will be. But that's fine. I	
7	should have well, it may		7	mean, if you want to continue	
8	they may have they possibly		8	until 5:00, I told you I'd give	
9	should have been disclosed on the		9	you that time so	
10	SOFA as transfers to insiders.		10	MR. WURTZEL: Well, I	
11	So I think you've raised a		11	appreciate it. And I will try to	
12	number of issues. I'm not sure		12	speak, you know, slower and	
13	well, I think we should continue		13	and, you know, more clearly. And	
14	it. I want to get that		14	if it's not you know, if you	
15	information and then be able to		15	can't hear me or Mr. Danzik, then	
16	ask additional questions. And I		16	certainly let us know (inaudible).	
17	think what I want to do is do a		17	MR. MORSE: Well, part of	
18	2004 motion and request request		18	the problem is, when we have you	
19	that information both from		19	on mute here, we have you know,	
20	Mr. Danzik and DAS and then come		20	we've had a few discussions. So	
21	back and reconvene and hopefully		21	we've had you know, several	
22	have a court reporter here as		22	people talking at once. So I just	
23	well.		23	think some of that's going to	
24	I think the transcript today		24	you know.	
	I diffin die danseript today			Joa mio III.	

That's the only problem with a 34 ls and doing it — doing it in this fashion without an orderly 2004. They're just horrible 5 records at times. 5 6 But that's fine. If you want to continue, we can continue. 8 MR. WURTZEL: Well, can I make a suggestion? I would like 9 10 to continue and hopefully we'll — we'll have a good court reporter 11 who can, you know, get as much of this as possible. 4 You know, maybe the way to do this, so that we can have an accurate record, would be to have 16 do this, so that we can have an accurate record, would be to have 16 MR. MORSE: Well, I agree. 19 MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if you want to characterize it a different way, then I'll let you. 17 MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if you want to characterize it a different way then I'll et you. 18 MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if you want to characterize it a different way then I'll let you. 19 MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if you want to characterize it a different way then I'll et you. 19 MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if you want to characterize it a different way then I'll let you. 19 MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if you want to characterize it a different way then I'll et you. 19 MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if you want to characterize it a different way then I'll let you. 19 MR. WURTZEL: Mr. McCartney, will you agree not to oppose that request? 2 A. I would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. 1 have no idea what that entails in person so that we can have a clean record, will you agree not to oppose an in-person 2004 exam. 1 mere and I don't know if we're mute now or not. 1 mere we'll do the best that we can have an lance the makes sense to continue and do the best that we can here, you know, or 1 mean, it makes sense to continue and do the best that we can have and in-person 2004 exam. 1 mere now? 1 MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear you. 1 MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear you. 1 MR. WURTZEL:		Case 17-20934 Doc 60-2 Filed 0.			
2 341s and doing it — doing it in this fashion without an orderly 4 2004. They're just horrible 7 records at times. 5 But that's fine. If you 7 want to continue, we can continue. 8 MR. WURTZEL: Well, can I 9 make a suggestion? I would like 10 to continue and hopefully we'll—10 we'll have a good court reporter 11 we'll have a good court reporter 12 who can, you know, get as much of 12 this as possible. 13 this a possible. 14 You know, maybe the way to 40 do this, so that we can have an 15 accurate record, would be to have 16 accurate record, would be to have 17 a 2004 exam in person so we don't 17 have these types of issues as — 18 you want to characterize it wo'l we raised significant issues. 19 MR. MORSE: Well, I agree. 19 MR. MORSE: Well, I agree. 19 Wart to —I haven't looked at 24 your e-mail yet. I just feel it's 19 given to me too soon prior. I had 16 though if I have any questions. 10 mR. wound. I — this 16 related (inaudible) at DAS is certainly raising red flags aside from the gambling issues so 10 MR. WURTZEL: Fine. So 11 kmk, you know. I — mean, it 19 makes sense to continue and do the 20 makes sense to continue and do the 21 think, you know I mean, it 19 makes sense to continue and do the 20 makes sense to continue and do the 20 the set that we can have, an in person soible, 20 mR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear you. 21 makes sense to continue and do the 20 makes sense to continue and do the 21 this to work of the person in the fourth of the person in the fo			4	,	Page 176
2 341s and doing it — doing it in this fashion without an orderly 4 2004. They're just horrible 7 records at times. 5 But that's fine. If you 7 want to continue, we can continue. 8 MR. WURTZEL: Well, can I 9 make a suggestion? I would like 10 to continue and hopefully we'll—10 we'll have a good court reporter 11 we'll have a good court reporter 12 who can, you know, get as much of 12 this as possible. 13 this a possible. 14 You know, maybe the way to 40 do this, so that we can have an 15 accurate record, would be to have 16 accurate record, would be to have 17 a 2004 exam in person so we don't 17 have these types of issues as — 18 you want to characterize it wo'l we raised significant issues. 19 MR. MORSE: Well, I agree. 19 MR. MORSE: Well, I agree. 19 Wart to —I haven't looked at 24 your e-mail yet. I just feel it's 19 given to me too soon prior. I had 16 though if I have any questions. 10 mR. wound. I — this 16 related (inaudible) at DAS is certainly raising red flags aside from the gambling issues so 10 MR. WURTZEL: Fine. So 11 kmk, you know. I — mean, it 19 makes sense to continue and do the 20 makes sense to continue and do the 21 think, you know I mean, it 19 makes sense to continue and do the 20 makes sense to continue and do the 20 the set that we can have, an in person soible, 20 mR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear you. 21 makes sense to continue and do the 20 makes sense to continue and do the 21 this to work of the person in the fourth of the person in the fo	1	That's the only problem with		1	possible.
this fashion without an orderly 2004. They're just horrible 5 records at times. 6 But that's fine. If you 7 want to continue, we can continue. 8 MR. WURTZEL: Well, can I 9 make a suggestion? I would like 10 to continue and hopefully we'll— we'll have a good court reporter 11 who can, you know, get as much of 13 this as possible. 14 You know, maybe the way to 15 do this, so that we can have an 16 accurate record, would be to have 16 a 2004 exam in person so we don't 17 have these types of issues as 19 MR. MORSE: Well, I agree. 19 MR. WORTZEL: Mr. Morse, if 19 you've raised significant issues. 21 I want to I haven't looked at 22 you're raised significant issues. 23 I want to I haven't looked at 24 your e-mail yet. I just feel it's 10 given to me too soon prior. I had 2 a busy morning. So I'd like to 3 look at that and see what 4 information's in there and then 5 talk to Brad. He can walk me 6 through if I have any questions. 7 But, you know, I this 8 related (inaudible) at DAS is 9 certainly raising red flags aside 10 from the gambling issues so 10 let's I think it makes sense 10 from the gambling issues so 11 MR. WURTZEL: Fine. So 11 MR. WURTZEL: Fine. So 11 MR. WURTZEL: Fine. So 12 let's I think it makes sense 13 then we'll do the best that we 14 did you say? I'm sorry. 18 MR. WURTZEL: All right. I 19 think, you know - I mean, it 10 this, so know - I mean, it 11 makes sense to continue and do the 12 best that we can have, you know, 2 2 to keep this as clean as possible, 2 MR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We there's no light to tell us 4 D. Mr. Danzik, if we request? 4 D. Mr. Danzik, given the 10 continue and bothe best that we can have to an have to an have to an have to an have a clean are record, will you agree not to oppose an in-person 2004? 4 MR. WCCARTNEY: Can you hear me now? 4 MR. WCCARTNEY: Can you hear me now? 4 MR. WCCARTNEY: Okay. We there's no light to tell us 5				2	
4 Q. Mr. Danzik, given the concerns that the Trustee has just raised regarding the transcript, do you agree to appear in person for a 2004? 8 MR. WURTZEL: Well, can I make a suggestion? I would like protected who can, you know, get as much of this as possible. 10 to continue and hopefully we'll protected who can, you know, get as much of this as possible. 11 this as possible. 12 this as possible. 13 this as possible. 14 You know, maybe the way to to do this, so that we can have an accurate record, would be to have a 2004 exam in person so we don't to be here in person. I think protected a your e-mail yet. I just feel it's protected a buty morning. So I'd like to a buty morning. So I'd like to a buty morning. So I'd like to through if I have any questions. 10 given to me too soon prior. I had informations in there and then through if I have any questions. 11 Buty ou know, I - this related (inaudible) at DAS is created from the gambling issues so 12 let's - I think It makes sense to continue and do the best that we can have a make sense to continue and do the best that we can have a sclean as possible, and the continue and to the protected appear in person for a 2004? 12 A I'm going to follow whatever the Court directs you to? 4 A. I'm going to follow whatever the Court directs you to? 4 A. I mean - I mean, my directly the Court directs you to? 4 A. I mean - I mean, my directly the Court directs you to? 4 A. I mean - I mean, my directly the Court directs you to? 4 A. I mean - I mean, my directly the Court directs you to? 4 A. I mean - I mean, my directly the Court directs you to? 5 A. I mean - I mean, my directly the Court directs you to? 6 A. I mean - I mean, my directly the Court directs you to? 8 A. I mean - I mean, my directly the Court directs you to? 9 A. I mean - I mean, my directly the Court directs you to? 9 A. I mean - I mean, my directly the Court directs you to? A. I mean - I mean, my directly the Court directly the Court directly the Court directly the Court directly the Cour					
5 records at times. 6 But that's fine. If you 7 want to continue, we can continue. 8 MR. WURTZEL: Well, can I 9 make a suggestion? I would like 10 to continue and hopefully we'll we'll have a good court reporter 11 who can, you know, get as much of 12 who can, you know, get as much of 13 this as possible. 13 this as possible. 14 You know, maybe the way to 15 do this, so that we can have an 16 accurate record, would be to have 16 a 204 exam in person so we don't 18 have these types of issues as 19 MR. MORSE: Well, I agree. 19 Agree. 10 I agree. I think Mr. Danzik needs 10 to be here in person. I think 21 you've raised significant issues. 22 you've raised significant issues. 23 I want to I haven't looked at 24 your e-mail yet. I just feel it's 24 given to me too soon prior. I had 2 a busy morning. So I'd like to 3 look at that and see what 4 information's in there and then 4 information's in there and then 5 talk to Brad. He can walk me 5 talk to Brad. He can walk me 6 through if I have any questions. 7 But, you know, I this 8 related (inaudible) at DAS is 9 certainly raising red flags aside 10 from the gambling issues so 11 MR. WURTZEL: Fine. So 12 let's I think it makes sense 13 there we'll do the best that we 14 can. 15 MR. WORSE: I can't 16 you're cutting out again. What 16 did you say? I'm sorry. 17 MR. WURTZEL: Yea, I hear 18 you're cutting out again. What 19 MR. WURTZEL: Yea, I hear 19 MR. WURTZEL: Yea, I hear 19 MR. WURTZEL: Yea, I hear 19 MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear 19 MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear 19 MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear 20 We've been muting and I don't 21 know if we're mute now or not. 22 MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear 23 MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear 24 you're cutting out again. What 25 MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear 26 we've been muting and I don't 27 MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear 28 MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear 29 MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear 39 MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear 30 MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear 30 MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear 31 ditories and breat the Truste has just raisean to pound at the tour tell. 31 dinan		•			
6 But that's fine. If you want to continue, want to continue, we and continue, MR. WURTZEL: Well, can I make a suggestion? I would like to continue and hopefully we'll — 10 we'll have a good court reporter who can, you know, get as much of 12 who can, you know, get as much of 13 this as possible. You know, maybe the way to do this, so that we can have an 15 accurate record, would be to have a 2004 exam in person so we don't have these types of issues as — 18 you went to characterize it a different way. The way want to characterize it a different way, then I'll let you. BY MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if you want to characterize it a different way, then I'll let you. BY MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if you've raised significant issues. I want to — I haven't looked at 23 in person so that we can have a clean you're e-mail yet. I just feel it's Page 175 1 given to me too soon prior. I had a busy morning. So I'd like to 3 look at that and see what information's in there and then talk to Brad. He can walk me from the gambling issues so 10 through if I have any questions. But, you know, I — this related (inaudible) at DAS is certainly raising red flags aside from the gambling issues so 10 MR. WURTZEL: Fine. So let's — I think it makes sense then — we'll do the best that we can. 14 did you say? I'm sorry. 18 MR. WURTZEL: All right. I think, you know — I mean, it makes a sonse to continue and do the best that we can here, you know, 1— man, it makes sense to continue and do the best that we can here, you know, 1— man, it makes sense to continue and do the best that we can here, you know, 1— man, it was care an as cashing whether you, Mr. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We — there's no light to tell us					
make suggestion? I would like to continue and hopefully we'll 10					ŭ
MR. WURTZEL: Well, can I make a suggestion? I would like to continue and hopefully we'll we'll have a good court reporter who can, you know, get as much of this as possible. You know, maybe the way to do this, so that we can have an accurate record, would be to have a 2004 exam in person so we don't has have these types of issues as beautiful and the can wate the service it and the s		•			
make a suggestion? I would like to continue and hopefully we'll we'll have a good court reporter the we'll have a good court reporter this as possible. You know, get as much of this as possible. You know, maybe the way to do this, so that we can have an accurate record, would be to have a 2004 exam in person so we don't have these types of issues as If MR. MORSE: Well, I agree. I agree. I think Mr. Danzik needs to be here in person. I think you've raised significant issues. I want toI haven't looked at your e-mail yet. I just feel it's Page 175 Page 176 Page 177 Page 177 Tequest? A. I meanI mean, my attorney and what's required of me (inaudible) this Chapter I1. Q. Let me ask it a different way. MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if you want to characterize it a different way, then I'll let you. BY MR. WURTZEL: Q. Will Mr. Danzik, if we request that you appear for a 2004 exam in person so that we can have a clean record, will you agree not to oppose that request? A. I mean I mean, my attorney and what's required of me (inaudible) this Chapter I1. Q. Let me ask it a different way. MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if you want to characterize it a different way, then I'll let you. BY MR. WURTZEL: Q. Will Mr. Danzik, if we request that you appear for a 2004 exam in person so that we can have a clean record, will you agree not to oppose that request? A. I mean I mean, my attorney and what's required of me (inaudible) this Chapter I1. Q. Let me ask it a different way. MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if you want to characterize it a different way, then I'll let you. BY MR. WURTZEL: Q. Will Mr. Danzik, if we request that you appear for a 2004 exam in person so that we can have a clean record, will you agree not to oppose an in-person 2004? MR. WURTZEL: Mr. McCartney, will you agree not to oppose an in-person 2004 exam in person so that we can to to oppose an in-person 2004? MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear me? We've been muting and I don't know if we're mute now or not. MR. WURTZEL: Yea, I hear you. MR.					
to continue and hopefully we'll we'll have a good court reporter this as possible. You know, maybe the way to do this, so that we can have an accurate record, would be to have a 2004 exam in person so we don't have these types of issues as MR. MORSE: Well, I agree. In garee. I think Mr. Danzik needs to be here in person. I think you've raised significant issues. I given to me too soon prior. I had a busy morning. So I'd like to look at that and see what information's in there and then through if I have any questions. But, you know, I this related (inaudible) at DAS is certainly raising red flags aside from the gambling issues so MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if you want to characterize it a different way. MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if you want to characterize it a different way, then I'll let you. BY MR. WURTZEL: Q. Will Mr. Danzik, if we request that you appear for a 2004 exam in person so that we can have a clean record, will you agree not to oppose that Page 17' A. I mean I mean, my attorney and what's required of me (inaudible) this Chapter II. Q. Let me ask it a different way. MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if you want to characterize it a different way, then I'll let you. BY MR. WURTZEL: Q. Will Mr. Danzik, if we request that you appear for a 2004 exam in person so that we can have a clean record, will you agree not to oppose that T equest? A. I would have to characterize it a different way. MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if You want to characterize it a different way. A. I would have to characterize it a different way. MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if You want to characterize it a different way. A. I would have to characterize it a different way. T equest? A. I would have to talk to my attorney adout all of my legal options. I have no idea what that entails. MR. WURTZEL: Mr. McCartney, will you agree not to oppose an in-person 2004? MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear me? We've been muting and I don't know if we're mute now or not. MR. WURTZEL: Yeah. Mr I didn't hear a response. I was asking whether y					
we'll have a good court reporter who can, you know, get as much of this as possible. You know, maybe the way to do this, so that we can have an accurate record, would be to have a 2004 exam in person so we don't have these types of issues as MR. MORSE: Well, lagree. I want to I haven't looked at your e-mail yet. I just feel it's page 175 page 175 page 176 page 177 page 177 page 177 A. I mean I mean, my attorney and what's required of me (inaudible) this Chapter 11. Q. Let me ask it a different way. MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if you want to characterize it a different way, then I'll let you. BY MR. WURTZEL: Q. Will Mr. Danzik, if we request that you appear for a 2004 exam in person so that we can have a clean record, will you agree not to oppose that request? A. I want o characterize it a different way, then I'll let you. BY MR. WURTZEL: Q. Will Mr. Danzik, if we request that you appear for a 2004 exam in person so that we can have a clean record, will you agree not to oppose that request? A. I would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. I have no idea what that entails. MR. WURTZEL: Mr. McCartney, will you agree not to oppose an in-person 2004? MR. MORSE: You're off. MR. MORSE: You're off. MR. MORSE: You're off. MR. MORSE: I can't you're cutting out again. What did you say? I'm sorry. MR. MOCARTNEY: Can you hear me now? MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear you. MR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We ther's no light to tell us					
this as possible. 12		¥ •			~ **
this as possible. You know, maybe the way to do this, so that we can have an accurate record, would be to have a 2004 exam in person so we don't have these types of issues as — 18 you want to characterize it a different way. In the property of the prope		<u> </u>			
14 You know, maybe the way to do this, so that we can have an a cacurate record, would be to have 16 a caurate record, would be to have 17 a 2004 exam in person so we don't 18 have these types of issues as 19 MR. MORSE: Well, I agree. 19 different way, then I'll let you. 20 I agree. I think Mr. Danzik needs 21 to be here in person. I think 22 you've raised significant issues. 23 I want to I haven't looked at 24 your e-mail yet. I just feel it's Page 175 1 given to me too soon prior. I had 2 a busy morning. So I'd like to 3 look at that and see what 4 information's in there and then 5 talk to Brad. He can walk me 6 through if I have any questions. 7 But, you know, I this 8 related (inaudible) at DAS is 9 certainly raising red flags aside 10 from the gambling issues so 11 MR. WURTZEL: Fine. So 12 let's I I think it makes sense 14 did you say? I'm sorry. 15 MR. MORSE: I can't 16 you're cutting out again. What 16 did you say? I'm sorry. 17 MR. WURTZEL: All right. I 18 (way. 18 (m. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if way. 18 MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if way. 19 MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if way. 10 MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if way. 10 MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if way. 11 MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if way. 12 MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if way. 13 MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if way. 14 you want to characterize it a liferent way, then I'll et you. 18 YMR. WURTZEL: Mr. Mr. McCartney 19 think, you know, - I mean, it way. 10 MR. WURTZEL: All right. I 11 merson 2004 exam. 11 mere or dea what that entails. 12 mequest? 23 request that you appear for a 2004 exam 14 record, will you agree not to oppose an 15 in-person 2004? 16 MR. WURTZEL: Yeah. Mr 16 ididn't hear a response. I was 17 asking where you, Mr. McCartney, will agree not to oppose an 18 in-person 2004 exam. 19 MR. WURTZEL: All right. I 18 merel way. then I'll et you. 19 MR. WURTZEL: All right. I 19 makes					
do this, so that we can have an accurate record, would be to have a 2004 exam in person so we don't lab have these types of issues as lab have to characterize it a different way, then I'll let you. BY MR. WURTZEL: Q. Will Mr. Danzik if we request that you appear for a 2004 exam in person so that we can have a clean record, will you agree not to oppose that request? Page 175 Page 175 Page 175 Page 175 Page 176 Page 177 I request? A. I would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. I have no idea what that entails. I have no idea what that entails. I have no idea what that entails. MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if you want to characterize it a different way, then I'll et you. BY MR. WURTZEL: Page 175 Page 175 Page 177 Page 177 I request? A. I would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. I have no idea what that entails. I have no idea what that entails. MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if you want to characterize it a different way, then I'll et you. Page 179 Page 179 Page 179 Page 179 A. I would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. I have no idea what that entails. I have no idea what that entails. I have no idea what that entails. MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse it a different way. MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear me? We've been muting and I don't know if we're mute now or not. MR. WURTZEL: Yeah. Mr I didn't hear a response. I was asking whether you, Mr. McCartney, will agree not to oppose an in-person 2004 exam. MR. WURTZEL: All right. I lab makes sense to conti		<u>*</u>			*
accurate record, would be to have a 2004 exam in person so we don't have these types of issues as IB MR. MORSE: Well, I agree. I agree. I think Mr. Danzik needs to be here in person. I think you've raised significant issues. I want to I haven't looked at your e-mail yet. I just feel it's Page 175 page 177 given to me too soon prior. I had a busy morning. So I'd like to look at that and see what information's in there and then through if I have any questions. But, you know, I this related (inaudible) at DAS is certainly raising red flags aside from the gambling issues so MR. WURTZEL: Mr. Morse, if you want to characterize it a different way, then I'll let you. BYMR. WURTZEL: Q. Will Mr. Danzik, if we request that you appear for a 2004 exam in person so that we can have a clean record, will you agree not to oppose that request? A. I would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. I have no idea what that entails. MR. WURTZEL: Mr. McCartney, will you agree not to oppose an in-person 2004? will you agree not to oppose an in-person 2004? MR. MORSE: You're off. MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear me? We've been mutting and I don't know if we're mute now or not. MR. WURTZEL: Yeah. Mr I didn't hear a response. I was asking whether you, Mr. McCartney, will agree not to oppose an in-person 2004 exam. MR. MORSE: I can't you're cutting out again. What did you say? I'm sorry. MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear me now? MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear you. MR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We to keep this as clean as possible, MR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We to keep this as clean as possible,					
17 a 2004 exam in person so we don't 18 have these types of issues as 19 MR. MORSE: Well, I agree. 19 I agree. I think Mr. Danzik needs 21 to be here in person. I think 22 you've raised significant issues. 23 I want to I haven't looked at 24 you've raised significant issues. 25 I want to I haven't looked at 26 you've raised significant issues. 27 I want to I haven't look we am 28 I want to I haven't let you. 29 BY MR. WURTZEL: 20 Q. Will Mr. Danzik, if we 20 request that you appear for a 2004 exam 21 in person so that we can have a clean 22 you've raised significant issues. 23 I want to I haven't look. 24 you've raised significant issues. 25 I want to I haven't let you. 26 BY MR. WURTZEL: 27 Page 175 28 Page 175 29 I request? 20 Will Mr. Danzik, if we 21 request that you appear for a 2004 exam 23 in person so that we can have a clean 24 record, will you agree not to oppose that 25 A. I would have to talk to my 26 attorway about all of my legal options. 26 I have no idea what that entails. 27 MR. WURTZEL: Mr. McCartney, 28 will you agree not to oppose an 29 in-person 2004? 20 MR. WURTZEL: Mr. McCartney, 20 Will you agree not to oppose an 29 in-person 2004? 20 MR. WORSE: You're off. 20 MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear 21 me? We've been muting and I don't 22 know if we're mute now or not. 23 I didn't hear a response. I was 24 will agree not to oppose an 25 MR. WURTZEL: Yeah. Mr 26 MR. WURTZEL: All right. I 27 MR. WURTZEL: All right. I 28 MR. WURTZEL: Yea, I hear 29 you're cutting out again. What 29 did you say? I'm sorry. 20 MR. WURTZEL: Yea, I hear 20 makes sense to continue and do the 21 best that we can here, you know, 22 to keep this as clean as possible, 23 MR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We 25 there's no light to tell us					
have these types of issues as MR. MORSE: Well, I agree. I agree. I think Mr. Danzik needs to be here in person. I think you've raised significant issues. I want to I haven't looked at your e-mail yet. I just feel it's Page 175 given to me too soon prior. I had a busy morning. So I'd like to look at that and see what information's in there and then talk to Brad. He can walk me through if I have any questions. But, you know, I this related (inaudible) at DAS is certainly raising red flags aside from the gambling issues so MR. WURTZEL: Fine. So loft in Mr. WURTZEL: Fine. So loft in Mr. WURTZEL: All right. I MR. MORSE: I can't you're cutting out again. What did you say? I'm sorry. MR. WURTZEL: All right. I makes sense to continue and do the best that we can here, you know, look and that and see what information's in the read then show if we're mute now or not. MR. WURTZEL: Yea, I hear you're cutting out again. What did you say? I'm sorry. MR. WURTZEL: All right. I makes sense to continue and do the best that we can here, you know, look and that pager. I agree. I think you know, e-t look at that you appear for a 2004 exam in person so that we can here, you knew request that you appear for a 2004 exam in person so that we can here, you knew look at that you appear for a 2004 exam in person so that we can have a clean record, will you agree not to oppose that request? A. I would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. I have no idea what that entails. MR. WURTZEL: Mr. McCartney, will you agree not to oppose an in-person 2004? MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear me? We've been muting and I don't know if we're mute now or not. MR. WURTZEL: Yeah. Mr I didn't hear a response. I was asking whether you, Mr. McCartney, will agree not to oppose an in-person 2004 exam. MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear me now? MR. WCCARTNEY: Can you hear me now? MR. WCCARTNEY: Okay. We there's no light to tell us					•
19 MR. MÖRSE: Well, I agree. 20 I agree. I think Mr. Danzik needs 21 to be here in person. I think 22 you've raised significant issues. 23 I want to I haven't looked at 24 your e-mail yet. I just feel it's Page 175 1 given to me too soon prior. I had 2 a busy morning. So I'd like to 3 look at that and see what 4 information's in there and then 5 talk to Brad. He can walk me 6 through if I have any questions. 7 But, you know, I this 8 related (inaudible) at DAS is 9 certainly raising red flags aside 10 from the gambling issues so 11 MR. WURTZEL: Fine. So 12 let's I think it makes sense 13 then we'll do the best that we 14 did you say? I'm sorry. 15 MR. MORSE: I can't 16 you're cutting out again. What 17 did you say? I'm sorry. 18 MR. WURTZEL: All right. I 19 think, you know I mean, it 10 makes sense to continue and do the 20 best that we can here, you know, 21 there's no light to tell us different way, then I'll let you. 20 BY MR. WURTZEL: Q. Will Mr. Danzik, if we request that you appear for a 2004 exam in person so that we can have a clean record, will you agree not to oppose that 1 request? A. I would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. I have no idea what that entails. I have no idea what t					
I agree. I think Mr. Danzik needs to be here in person. I think to be here in person. I think like you've raised significant issues. I want to I haven't looked at your e-mail yet. I just feel it's Page 175 given to me too soon prior. I had a busy morning. So I'd like to look at that and see what information's in there and then talk to Brad. He can walk me for through if I have any questions. But, you know, I this related (inaudible) at DAS is certainly raising red flags aside from the gambling issues so MR. WURTZEL: Pine. So lid like to let's I think it makes sense to continue and do the look expert think, you know I mean, it makes sense to continue and do the look are in person so that we can here, you know, let's continue and do the look expert is as clean as possible, look at that we can here, you know, let's according to the related to be that we can here, you know, let's according to the relation to be there in person. I think to be a continue and do the look are that we can here, you know, let's according to the relation to the light to tell us BYMR. WURTZEL: Q. Will Mr. Danzik, if we request that you appear for a 2004 exam in person so that we can here, you know, let request that you appear for a 2004 exam in person so that we can here, you know, let request that you appear for a 2004 exam in person so that we can here, you know, let make sense to continue and do the look about all of my ou agree not to oppose an in-person 2004? BYMR. WURTZEL: All right. I list makes sense to continue and do the look at that we can here, you know, let make sense to continue and do the look expert that you agree not to oppose an in-person 2004 exam. BYMR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear you. MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear you. MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear you. MR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We there's no light to tell us					•
to be here in person. I think you've raised significant issues. I want to I haven't looked at your e-mail yet. I just feel it's Page 175 page 175 request? A. I would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. I have no idea what that entails. I have no idea what that entails. Kilk to Brad. He can walk me through if I have any questions. But, you know, I this related (inaudible) at DAS is relate					
22 you've raised significant issues. 23 I want to I haven't looked at 24 your e-mail yet. I just feel it's Page 175 1 given to me too soon prior. I had 2 a busy morning. So I'd like to 3 look at that and see what 4 information's in there and then 5 talk to Brad. He can walk me 6 through if I have any questions. 7 But, you know, I this 8 related (inaudible) at DAS is 9 certainly raising red flags aside 10 from the gambling issues so 11 MR. WURTZEL: Fine. So 12 let's I think it makes sense 12 let's I think it makes sense 13 did you say? I'm sorry. 14 MR. WURTZEL: All right. I 15 makes sense to continue and do the 26 best that we can here, you know, 27 makes sense to continue and do the 28 page 175 Page 175 Page 175 Page 175 Page 175 A. I would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. 1 I have no idea what that entails. MR. WURTZEL: Mr. McCartney, will you agree not to oppose an in-person 2004? MR. MORSE: You're off. MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear me? We've been muting and I don't know if we're mute now or not. MR. WURTZEL: Yeah. Mr 14 didn't hear a response. I was asking whether you, Mr. McCartney, will agree not to oppose an in-person 2004? MR. WURTZEL: Yeah. Mr 15 mR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear me now? MR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We to keep this as clean as possible,					
I want to I haven't looked at your e-mail yet. I just feel it's Page 175 page 177 given to me too soon prior. I had a busy morning. So I'd like to 3 look at that and see what 4 information's in there and then 5 talk to Brad. He can walk me 6 through if I have any questions. 7 But, you know, I this 8 related (inaudible) at DAS is 9 certainly raising red flags aside 10 from the gambling issues so 10 from the gambling issues so 10 mR. WURTZEL: Fine. So 11 know if we're mute now or not. 12 let's I think it makes sense 12 then we'll do the best that we can. 14 think, you know I mean, it 19 think, you know I mean, it 19 think, you know I mean, it 10 makes sense to continue and do the 20 best that we can here, you know, 22 the record, will you agree not to oppose that record, will you agree not to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. 1 request? A. I would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. 1 In would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. 1 In would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. 1 In would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. 1 In would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. 1 In would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. 1 In would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. 1 In would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. 1 In would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. 1 In would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. 1 In would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. 1 In would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. 1 In would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. 1 In would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. 1 In would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. 1 In would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. 1 In would have to talk to my a					
your e-mail yet. I just feel it's Page 175 given to me too soon prior. I had a busy morning. So I'd like to look at that and see what information's in there and then talk to Brad. He can walk me through if I have any questions. But, you know, I this related (inaudible) at DAS is related (inaudible) at DAS is recrtainly raising red flags aside from the gambling issues so R. WURTZEL: Fine. So let's I think it makes sense R. WURTZEL: Yeah. Mr then we'll do the best that we can. MR. MORSE: I can't you're cutting out again. What did you say? I'm sorry. MR. WURTZEL: All right. I MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear you. MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear you. MR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We to keep this as clean as possible, A. I would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. I request? A. I would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. I request? A. I would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. I have no idea what that entails. MR. WURTZEL: Mr. McCartney, will you agree not to oppose an in-person 2004? A. I would have to talk to my attorney about all of my legal options. I have no idea what that entails. MR. WURTZEL: Mr. McCartney, will you agree not to oppose an in-person 2004? MR. WURTZEL: Yeah. Mr I didn't hear a response. I was asking whether you, Mr. McCartney, will agree not to oppose an in-person 2004 exam. MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear me now? MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear me now? MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear you. MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear					
Page 175 1 given to me too soon prior. I had 2 a busy morning. So I'd like to 3 look at that and see what 4 information's in there and then 5 talk to Brad. He can walk me 6 through if I have any questions. 7 But, you know, I this 8 related (inaudible) at DAS is 9 certainly raising red flags aside 10 from the gambling issues so 11 MR. WURTZEL: Fine. So 12 let's I think it makes sense 13 then we'll do the best that we 14 can. 15 MR. MORSE: I can't 16 you're cutting out again. What 17 did you say? I'm sorry. 18 MR. WURTZEL: All right. I 19 think, you know I mean, it 10 met too soon prior. I had 2 a husy morning. So I'd like to 2 A. I would have to talk to my 3 attorney about all of my legal options. 1 I request? A. I would have to talk to my 4 attorney about all of my legal options. 1 I have no idea what that entails. MR. WURTZEL: Mr. McCartney, will you agree not to oppose an in-person 2004? MR. MORSE: You're off. MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear me? We've been muting and I don't know if we're mute now or not. MR. WURTZEL: Yeah. Mr 13 I didn't hear a response. I was asking whether you, Mr. McCartney, will agree not to oppose an in-person 2004 exam. MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear me now? MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear me now? MR. WURTZEL: All right. I MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear you. MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear you. MR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We to keep this as clean as possible, MR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We there's no light to tell us					*
1 given to me too soon prior. I had 2 a busy morning. So I'd like to 3 look at that and see what 4 information's in there and then 5 talk to Brad. He can walk me 6 through if I have any questions. 7 But, you know, I this 8 related (inaudible) at DAS is 9 certainly raising red flags aside 10 from the gambling issues so 11 MR. WURTZEL: Fine. So 12 let's I think it makes sense 12 MR. WURTZEL: Yeah. Mr 13 then we'll do the best that we 14 can. 15 MR. MORSE: I can't 16 you're cutting out again. What 17 did you say? I'm sorry. 18 MR. WURTZEL: All right. I 19 think, you know I mean, it 20 makes sense to continue and do the 20 best that we can here, you know, 21 best that we can here, you know, 22 to keep this as clean as possible, 2 A. I would have to talk to my 2 A. I would have to talk to my 3 attorney about all of my legal options. 1 request? 2 A. I would have to talk to my 3 attorney about all of my legal options. 1 I have no idea what that entails. A I have no idea what that entails. I have no idea what that entails. MR. WURTZEL: Mr. McCartney, will you agree not to oppose an in-person 2004? A NR. WORSE: You're off. MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear me now? MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear me now? MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear you. MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear	24	·		24	•
a busy morning. So I'd like to look at that and see what linformation's in there and then talk to Brad. He can walk me through if I have any questions. But, you know, I this related (inaudible) at DAS is related (inaudible) at DAS is from the gambling issues so MR. WURTZEL: Mr. McCartney, will you agree not to oppose an in-person 2004? MR. MORSE: You're off. MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear me? We've been muting and I don't know if we're mute now or not. MR. WURTZEL: Fine. So lididn't hear a response. I was asking whether you, Mr. McCartney, will agree not to oppose an in-person 2004? MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear me? We've been muting and I don't know if we're mute now or not. let's I think it makes sense lididn't hear a response. I was asking whether you, Mr. McCartney, will agree not to oppose an in-person 2004 exam. MR. MORSE: I can't you're cutting out again. What did you say? I'm sorry. MR. WURTZEL: All right. I MR. WURTZEL: All right. I MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear you. MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear you. MR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We to keep this as clean as possible,		Pa	ge 175		Page 177
look at that and see what information's in there and then talk to Brad. He can walk me through if I have any questions. But, you know, I this related (inaudible) at DAS is related (inaudible) at DAS is from the gambling issues so MR. WURTZEL: Mr. McCartney, will you agree not to oppose an in-person 2004? MR. MORSE: You're off. MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear me? We've been muting and I don't know if we're mute now or not. It is I think it makes sense MR. WURTZEL: Yeah. Mr I didn't hear a response. I was asking whether you, Mr. McCartney, will agree not to oppose an in-person 2004? MR. WURTZEL: Yeah. Mr I didn't hear a response. I was asking whether you, Mr. McCartney, will agree not to oppose an in-person 2004 exam. MR. MORSE: I can't you're cutting out again. What did you say? I'm sorry. MR. WURTZEL: All right. I MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear me now? MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear you. MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear you. MR. WURTZEL: Yes on light to tell us					•
4 information's in there and then 5 talk to Brad. He can walk me 6 through if I have any questions. 7 But, you know, I this 8 related (inaudible) at DAS is 9 certainly raising red flags aside 10 from the gambling issues so 11 know if we're been muting and I don't 11 MR. WURTZEL: Fine. So 12 MR. WURTZEL: Yeah. Mr 13 then we'll do the best that we 14 can. 15 MR. MORSE: I can't 16 you're cutting out again. What 17 did you say? I'm sorry. 18 MR. WURTZEL: All right. I 19 think, you know I mean, it 20 makes sense to continue and do the 21 best that we can here, you know, 22 to keep this as clean as possible, 24 I have no idea what that entails. 4 I have no idea what that entails. 5 MR. WURTZEL: Mr. McCartney, will you agree not to oppose an in-person 2004? 8 MR. MORSE: You're off. 9 MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear 10 me now? 11 know if we're mute now or not. 12 ldidn't hear a response. I was 13 I didn't hear a response. I was 14 asking whether you, Mr. McCartney, will agree not to oppose an in-person 2004 exam. 16 in-person 2004 exam. 17 MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear 18 MR. WURTZEL: All right. I 19 MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear 20 makes sense to continue and do the 20 you. 21 best that we can here, you know, 22 there's no light to tell us	2			2	•
talk to Brad. He can walk me through if I have any questions. But, you know, I this related (inaudible) at DAS is related (inaudible) at DAS is Recretainly raising red flags aside Recretainly regress redress	3				• • • •
through if I have any questions. But, you know, I this related (inaudible) at DAS is related (inaudible) at DAS is melt from the gambling issues so MR. MORSE: You're off. MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear me? We've been muting and I don't know if we're mute now or not. MR. WURTZEL: Fine. So lt know if we're mute now or not. let's I think it makes sense lt MR. WURTZEL: Yeah. Mr then we'll do the best that we can. MR. MORSE: I can't you're cutting out again. What did you say? I'm sorry. MR. WURTZEL: All right. I makes sense to continue and do the best that we can here, you know, best that we can as possible, will you agree not to oppose an in-person 2004? MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear me now? MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear me now? MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear you. MR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We there's no light to tell us	4	information's in there and then			I have no idea what that entails.
But, you know, I this related (inaudible) at DAS is related (inaudible) at DAS is MR. MORSE: You're off. MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear from the gambling issues so MR. WURTZEL: Fine. So It know if we're mute now or not. Let's I think it makes sense It didn't hear a response. I was can. MR. MORSE: I can't MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear MR. WURTZEL: All right. I MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear you. MR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We To keep this as clean as possible, MR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We There's no light to tell us	5	talk to Brad. He can walk me		5	MR. WURTZEL: Mr. McCartney,
8 related (inaudible) at DAS is 9 certainly raising red flags aside 10 from the gambling issues so 11 me? We've been muting and I don't 11 MR. WURTZEL: Fine. So 11 know if we're mute now or not. 12 let's I think it makes sense 12 MR. WURTZEL: Yeah. Mr 13 then we'll do the best that we 14 can. 15 MR. MORSE: I can't 16 you're cutting out again. What 17 did you say? I'm sorry. 18 MR. WURTZEL: All right. I 19 think, you know I mean, it 20 makes sense to continue and do the 21 mR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We 22 to keep this as clean as possible, 10 MR. MORSE: You're off. 10 MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear 11 know if we're mute now or not. 12 MR. WURTZEL: Yeah. Mr 13 I didn't hear a response. I was 14 asking whether you, Mr. McCartney, 15 will agree not to oppose an 16 in-person 2004 exam. 17 MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear 18 me now? 19 MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear 20 you. 21 MR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We 22 to keep this as clean as possible, 22 there's no light to tell us	6	through if I have any questions.		6	will you agree not to oppose an
9 MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear 10 from the gambling issues so 11 MR. WURTZEL: Fine. So 12 let's I think it makes sense 13 then we'll do the best that we 14 can. 15 MR. MORSE: I can't 16 you're cutting out again. What 17 did you say? I'm sorry. 18 MR. WURTZEL: All right. I 19 think, you know I mean, it 20 makes sense to continue and do the 21 makes sense to keep this as clean as possible, 22 mR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear 24 me now? 25 MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear 26 makes sense to continue and do the 27 makes sense to continue and do the 28 mR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We 29 there's no light to tell us	7	But, you know, I this		7	in-person 2004?
from the gambling issues so MR. WURTZEL: Fine. So let's I think it makes sense then we'll do the best that we mR. MORSE: I can't you're cutting out again. What mR. WURTZEL: Yeah. Mr lot was mR. MORSE: I can't did you say? I'm sorry. MR. WURTZEL: Yeah. Mr lot was min-person 2004 exam.	8	related (inaudible) at DAS is		8	MR. MORSE: You're off.
11 MR. WURTZEL: Fine. So 12 let's I think it makes sense 13 then we'll do the best that we 14 can. 15 MR. MORSE: I can't 16 you're cutting out again. What 17 did you say? I'm sorry. 18 MR. WURTZEL: All right. I 19 think, you know I mean, it 20 makes sense to continue and do the 21 think we're mute now or not. 12 MR. WURTZEL: Yeah. Mr 13 I didn't hear a response. I was 14 asking whether you, Mr. McCartney, 15 will agree not to oppose an 16 in-person 2004 exam. 17 MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear 18 me now? 19 MR. WURTZEL: All right. I 19 MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear 20 you. 21 best that we can here, you know, 22 to keep this as clean as possible, 22 there's no light to tell us	9	certainly raising red flags aside		9	MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear
12 let's I think it makes sense 13 then we'll do the best that we 14 can. 15 MR. MORSE: I can't 16 you're cutting out again. What 17 did you say? I'm sorry. 18 MR. WURTZEL: Yeah. Mr 19 think, you know I mean, it 20 makes sense to continue and do the 21 best that we can here, you know, 22 to keep this as clean as possible, 23 I didn't hear a response. I was 24 asking whether you, Mr. McCartney, 26 will agree not to oppose an 27 in-person 2004 exam. 28 MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear 29 me now? 20 makes sense to continue and do the 20 you. 21 MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear 22 you. 23 MR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We 24 there's no light to tell us	10	from the gambling issues so		10	me? We've been muting and I don't
then we'll do the best that we can. MR. MORSE: I can't you're cutting out again. What did you say? I'm sorry. MR. WURTZEL: All right. I makes sense to continue and do the best that we can here, you know, to keep this as clean as possible, I didn't hear a response. I was asking whether you, Mr. McCartney, will agree not to oppose an in-person 2004 exam. MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear me now? MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear you. MR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We there's no light to tell us	11	MR. WURTZEL: Fine. So		11	know if we're mute now or not.
14 can. 15 MR. MORSE: I can't 16 you're cutting out again. What 17 did you say? I'm sorry. 18 MR. WURTZEL: All right. I 19 think, you know I mean, it 20 makes sense to continue and do the 21 best that we can here, you know, 22 to keep this as clean as possible, 14 asking whether you, Mr. McCartney, will agree not to oppose an in-person 2004 exam. 17 MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear me now? 18 MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear you. 20 MR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We there's no light to tell us	12	let's I think it makes sense		12	MR. WURTZEL: Yeah. Mr
MR. MORSE: I can't 15 will agree not to oppose an 16 you're cutting out again. What 17 did you say? I'm sorry. 18 MR. WURTZEL: All right. I 19 think, you know I mean, it 20 makes sense to continue and do the 21 best that we can here, you know, 22 to keep this as clean as possible, 15 will agree not to oppose an 16 in-person 2004 exam. 17 MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear 18 me now? 19 MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear 20 you. 21 MR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We 22 to keep this as clean as possible, 23 there's no light to tell us	13	then we'll do the best that we		13	I didn't hear a response. I was
16 you're cutting out again. What 17 did you say? I'm sorry. 18 MR. WURTZEL: All right. I 19 think, you know I mean, it 20 makes sense to continue and do the 21 best that we can here, you know, 22 to keep this as clean as possible, 16 in-person 2004 exam. 17 MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear 18 me now? 19 MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear 20 you. 21 MR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We 22 there's no light to tell us	14	can.		14	asking whether you, Mr. McCartney,
17 did you say? I'm sorry. 18 MR. WURTZEL: All right. I 19 think, you know I mean, it 20 makes sense to continue and do the 21 best that we can here, you know, 22 to keep this as clean as possible, 17 MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear 18 me now? 19 MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear 20 you. 21 MR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We 22 there's no light to tell us	15	MR. MORSE: I can't		15	
17 did you say? I'm sorry. 18 MR. WURTZEL: All right. I 19 think, you know I mean, it 20 makes sense to continue and do the 21 best that we can here, you know, 22 to keep this as clean as possible, 17 MR. MCCARTNEY: Can you hear 18 me now? 19 MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear 20 you. 21 MR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We 22 there's no light to tell us	16	you're cutting out again. What		16	in-person 2004 exam.
18 MR. WURTZEL: All right. I 19 think, you know I mean, it 20 makes sense to continue and do the 21 best that we can here, you know, 22 to keep this as clean as possible, 18 me now? 19 MR. WURTZEL: Yes, I hear 20 you. 21 MR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We 22 there's no light to tell us	17			17	•
think, you know I mean, it makes sense to continue and do the best that we can here, you know, to keep this as clean as possible, makes sense to continue and do the makes sense to continu	18			18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
20 makes sense to continue and do the 21 best that we can here, you know, 22 to keep this as clean as possible, 20 you. 21 MR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We 22 there's no light to tell us				19	
best that we can here, you know, 21 MR. MCCARTNEY: Okay. We to keep this as clean as possible, 22 there's no light to tell us					
to keep this as clean as possible, 22 there's no light to tell us				21	· ·
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	23	and then we'll get a 2004, you		23	S Comments
know, in person as soon as 24 couldn't tell.				24	

	Case 17-20934 Doc 60-2 File			of 7	
		Page 190	 E 0	Page 1	92
1	That's not that hard.		1	It might take me a week or two,	
2	Q. Okay. Well, thank you for		2	but I will get them.	
3	agreeing. You will agree to give that to	o	3	BY MR. WURTZEL:	
4	your attorney in the next week and agree		4	Q. Fine. So let's say two	
5	for him to produce it to us?		5	weeks from today you get that to your	
6	A. A week or so depending on		6	counsel who will produce it to us within	
7	how long I'm on you know, I'm on th	ne	7	the next two weeks.	
8	road and with all my other work that I		8	MR. WURTZEL: Does that work	
9	gotta do. But I know that I can get		9	for everyone?	
10	access to that and I give it to		10	THE WITNESS: I don't want	
11	(inaudible). It's not a problem.		11	to set a firm deadline, but I	
12	Q. Thank you for agreeing to do		12	in approximately that timeline I	
13	that. I just want to understand. In		13	believe I can get it.	
14	your contract with Danzik Applied		$\frac{13}{14}$	MR. WURTZEL: Okay.	
15	•		15	MR. MORSE: Well, let me	
16	Sciences and by the way, what type contract is that? Is that an employment		16	•	
17			17	just interject. We are going to file a 2004 motion so we have	
18	agreement?		18		
19	A. I have a couple of different contracts with DAS. Most of it is		10 19	something formal with the court	
20			19 20	requesting all not only	
21	contract work so that the contract work		20 21	those that employment contract	
22	passes through and most of that's dor		21 22	between DAS and Mr. Danzik, but	
23	(inaudible), you know, God forbid I ha		23	also any contracts between DAS	
23 24	an accident in the field or, you know,		23 24	has with any third party that	
24	something happens to me.		24	you know, or source of revenue,	
		Page 191		Page 1:	93
1	Q. You produce contracts		1	whatever, it's going to be pretty	
2	A. It's basically yeah.		2	broad. I mean, I think we need to	
3	Yeah. I'll talk to my attorney about it.		3	really understand what DAS is,	
4	If he has no objection, yeah. I you		4	what funds have flowed through	
5	know, I don't see why what's		5	those and that entity's accounts.	
6	there's nothing in there to hide.		6	So I don't want to commit	
7	MR. WURTZEL: Mr. McCart	ney,	7	our office to, you know, any sort	
8	do you have any objection to		8	of disclosure agreement here. I	
9	producing the agreements that		9	want a court order requiring all	
10	Mr. Danzik has with Danzik Appli		10	that information turned over	
11	Sciences?		11	and so that's the route we're	
12	MR. MCCARTNEY: No. I th		12	going to go on. You all can	
13	I've seen copies of both of them.		13	obviously agree (inaudible).	
14	I don't want Dennis to make		14	MR. WURTZEL: I think that	
15	promises that he's going to get		15	makes sense.	
16	all this stuff done by Monday and		16	BY MR. WURTZEL:	
17	then not come through. I'm		17	Q. And, Mr. Danzik, do you	
18	thinking we're putting		18	are you aware that in the Arizona	
19	THE WITNESS: No. I said		19	judgment enforcement action all these	
20	MR. MCCARTNEY: a little		20	documents have been requested and you've	
21	more heat on you than we need to.		21	refused to produce them?	
22	THE WITNESS: I'm not		22	A. I haven't refused to produce	
23	producing that by by Monday. I		23	any document.	
24	said as soon as I possibly can.		24	Q. Okay. Are you aware that	

	Case 17-20934 Doc 00-2 Filed 02/21	Page 7 o	f 7		
	Page			ge 2	228
1	commit to not opposing a request	1	sorry, (inaudible). I didn't		
2	to do that in person, and	2	hear. What did you say?		
3	Mr. McCartney said that he would	3	MR. MCCARTNEY: I said it		
4	not oppose it. You know, I'm	4	doesn't make sense to fight an		
5	relying on (inaudible) the	5	issue that you can't win.		
6	representations here. If I	6	MR. MORSE: Yeah. I think		
7	mischaracterized them then	7	that's going to be a difficult		
8	THE WITNESS: I didn't I	8	issue to overcome. I think		
9	didn't I want to make it clear	9	in-person in-person		
10	I didn't agree to anything.	10	MR. WURTZEL: I'm sorry.		
11	MR. WURTZEL: Your counsel	11	(Inaudible) breaking up a little		
12	agreed on your behalf. Is that	12	bit. You were saying that it was		
13	right, Mr. McCartney?	13	the U.S position that the 2004		
14	THE WITNESS: No. No.	14	exam should be in person, correct?		
15	MR. MCCARTNEY: What are	15	MR. MORSE: Well,		
16	you what are you particularly	16	effectively, yes. As a practical		
17	addressing?	17	matter. Because we're going to		
18	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Will he	18	have them done at the same time.		
19	appear in person.	19	We're going to continue the 341		
20	MR. WURTZEL: We discussed	20	meeting at the same time as the		
21	before the need to do a 2004 in	21	2004 exam; and the 341 meeting		
22	person, and I asked you,	22	we're going to require that		
23	Mr. McCartney, whether you would	23	Mr. Danzik be here in person.		
24	agree not to object to having an	24	And as to the 2004 exam,		
	Page			ge 2	 229
1				_	
2	in-person 2004, and then you said	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	Mr. McCartney already made the		
3	that you would that you would	3	representation on the record that		
4	agree to that. MR. MCCARTNEY: I'll visit	4	he wouldn't oppose an in-person		
		5	appearance. So I really don't		
5 6	with Dennis about that, but I	6	think this is going to be much of		
7	don't change my mind easily.	7	an issue. And if it gets before		
8	MR. MORSE: Well, I I did this is Mr. Morse. I did	8	the Court, I presume the Court		
9		9	will defer to the U.S. Trustee's		
10	hear, Ken McCartney agree to that.	10	office, as it has done in the		
11	But as part of the 341	11	past, on how to conduct 341		
12	process, we're going to require	12	meetings.		
13	Mr. Danzik to be here in person. And the Office of the Trustee	13	So, you know, Mr. McCartney and Mr. Danzik can talk it over,		
14	is at least it's been my	14	but I'm not sure as		
15	•	I			
16	experience when dealing with those issues before the Court, the	15 16	Mr. McCartney mentioned here, and I don't want to misstate what he		ļ
17	•	17	said that it's not a battle		
18	Court has always deferred to the	18			
18 19	U.S. Trustee on how to handle 341	19	that you you know, why fight a		ļ
	meetings. So that's our	I	battle that's not that you're		
20 21	requirement.	20	not going to win so but again,		
22	MR. MCCARTNEY: (Inaudible)	21	they can have that conversation.		
	pay any money to fight that issue	22	But that's our position at		ļ
23	(inaudible).	23	this point in time.		ļ
24	MR. MORSE: I suppose I'm	24	MR. WURTZEL: Thank you.		

